PAGE 7-10 June 2016, Lisbon Portugal

Using modeling and simulation to design and evaluate dosing strategies for temocillin in haemodialysis patients | hp

AC Miranda Bastos (1,2), SJ Vandecasteele (3), A Capron (4), PM Tulkens (1), A Spinewine (2), F Van Bambeke (1)

(1) Pharmacologie cellulaire et moléculaire, Louvain Drug Research Institute, (2) Clinical Pharmacy Research Group, Louvain Drug Research Institute, **PAGE** (3) Department of Nephrology and Infectious Diseases, AZ Sint-Jan Brugge-Oostende AV, Bruges, Belgium (4) Department of Clinical Chemistry, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium.

Background and Objectives

 \checkmark Temocillin is an anti-Gram-negative β -lactam active against many ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae but with limited population pharmacokinetics data on patients undergoing haemodialysis.

Current dosing recommendations:[1]

Haemodialysis regimen	Temocillin dose					
every 24h	500 mg					
every 48h	1000 mg					
The nurnees of this study was to develop a joint						

Methods

- Single-center, open-label, non-randomized study
- \checkmark 16 patients were administered a dose of 1, 2, or 3g of temocillin (total of 61 doses) followed by an interdialytic period (off-dialysis) of 20, 44, or 68h, respectively, and dialysis period of 4h.
- A nonlinear mixed effects model was fitted, taking both total and unbound temocillin concentrations from 429 serum samples into account.



UCL

Université

catholique

de Louvain

- The purpose of this study was to develop a joint PK model of total and unbound temocillin serum concentrations in this patient population.
- This model was also used to design and evaluate a dosing regimen aiming at a 90% probability of target attainment, i.e. unbound concentrations at least 40% of the dosing interval above the largest minimal inhibitory concentration (40% fT > MIC) of the main susceptible organisms (≤ 16 mg/L).
- A 10,000-subject Monte Carlo simulation was conducted to determine the required dose to achieve 90% probability of target attainment over a wide range of patients' weights (50-100kg). These simulations also investigated the performance of various clinically feasible dosing regimens.
- Data analyses were performed using NONMEM 7.3, Pirana, PsN and R.

Mechanism-based final PK model



Results

II-18

Final PK narameter estimates ————————————————————————————————————					Dose finding studies per dialysis regimen	Dosing table			
	Daramotor	Estimate	Bootstrap		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	Dry body	Interdialyti	c period	
'	arameter	(RSE %)	(95% CI) [†]	(RSE%)			48h	72h	
Str	uctural mode					50-55 56-60 1.5g	2.5g	1 st dose: 2g	
	V ₁ (L/70kg)*	22.7 (10.1)	23.4 (20.0 - 27.9)	38.1 (16.6)		61 - 65	2 σ	2 nd dose (24h later): 2g	
	V ₂ (L/70kg)*	20.7 (10.5)	22.0 (18.2 – 26.4)	31.0 (22.9)	0	66 - 70	Jg		
	Q(L/h/70kg)♯	4.16 (5.5)	4.1 (3.4 – 4.8)	-	Weight (kg) Weight (kg)	71 - 75 76 - 80		1st docor 2 5g	
	KoAª (L/h)	7.99 (17.4)	8.0 (5.5-10.6)	-	$ \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{0} \\ \textcircled{0} \\ \textcircled{0} \\ \end{matrix}} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array}$	$\frac{70-80}{81-85}$ 2g		2 nd dose (24h later): 2.5g	
V _m	_{ax} (mg/h/70kg)♯	411 (73)	258.4 (117.7 -474.6)		$ \begin{array}{c} $	86 - 90	1 st dose: 2g		
				§47.4 (19.1) /		91 – 95	Z ^{ind} dose (24n later). Zg	1 st dose: 3g	
	K _m (mg/L)	253 (87.7)	143.8 (50.8-301.9)	13.9 (30.2)	d - dose → 68h → dial. 4h e - dose → 24h → dose → 44h → dial. 4h	<u>96 – 100</u> 2.5g		2 nd dose (24h later): 3g	
			-		f - dose \rightarrow 48h \rightarrow dose \rightarrow 20h \rightarrow dial. 4h 50 60 70 80 90 100 g - dose \rightarrow 24h \rightarrow dose \rightarrow 24h \rightarrow dose \rightarrow 20h \rightarrow dial. 4h	Proposed temoc	cillin dosing regime	en for haemodialys	
	K _d (mg/L)	34.2 (21.2)	37.2 (24.2 – 58.4)	81.7 (21.4)	Weight (kg)	patients, accord	ing to their weight	and the interdialyt	
	B _{max} (mg/L)	117 (12.7)	124.8 (102.6–154.9)	42 (26.2)	Required temocillin dose to achieve a PKPD target of 40%fT>MIC ₁₆ as	period. Single c	or first doses sho fer dialysis Dialy	uld be administere	
					a function of body weight with 30 % probability for a 2411 (a), 4011 (b, c)	initiation and an	\mathbf{U}	ynd Cycles carr c	

Residual variability

Prop. Error CV% 22.9 (18.9) 22.5 (18.4 – 26.1) unbound conc

Prop. Error CV% 18.0 (19.3) 17.4 (13.8 – 19.7) total conc

RSE, relative standard error; CV, coefficient of variation; IIV, interindividual variability; IOV, interoccasion variability; Q, intercompartmental clearance; KoA: mass transfer area coefficient; Vmax, maximum elimination rate of the system; Km, drug concentration that produces 50% of the maximal elimination rate of the system; Kd, unbound drug concentration corresponding to half maximal binding; Bmax, maximal binding capacity. [†]estimated by applying the final PopPK model to 1000 re-sampled dataset *, # allometric model with a standard body weight of 70kg and an exponent of 1 (to scale the volume of both central and peripheral compartments) or 0.75 (to scale Q and V_{max}). [§] IIV and IOV for clearance (CL). Patient clearance was defined as $CL = V_{max}/(K_m+C1) * EXP (IIV_CL + IOV_CL)$ ^a CL_{Dial}= BFR*(EXP(KoA/BFR*(1-BFR/DFR))-1)/(EXP(KoA/BFR*(1-BFR/DFR))-BFR/DFR) [2]

Prediction-corrected visual predictive checks



pcVPC of the final model describing the PK of temocillin

and 72h (d, e, f, g) interdialytic regimen. The simulated dosage schedule indicated in the respective graph was repeated 4 times.

modialysis nterdialytic ministered can be considered independent from each other. Dosage regimen were rounded up to the closest 0.5g.

Simulations, probability of target attainment and PKPD breakpoints

	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3		PKPD breakpoint (mg/L)
А	0.5g	Dial.&∽			4
В	1g	Dial.&ຽ			9
С	Dosing tak	ole 24h	-		18
D	1g	-	Dial.&⊅		4
Е	2g	-	Dial.&∽		9
F	Dosing tak	18			
G	3g	-	-	Dial.&℃	9
Н	Dosing tak	18			

Weekly regimens

		Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	→	PKPD breakpoint (mg/L)
		2a	-	Dial.→2ɑ	-	Dial.→3q	_	-	Dial.&ウ	9
K	K	Regimen E		Regim	en E	Re	Regimen G			_
		Dosing ta	able 48h	Dosing table 48h		Dosii	ng table 72	2h		18

--- D 90 _____ 20 20 Ø ø ဖ MIC (ma/L) MIC (ma/L 00 ÷----G 90 90 80 80 60 20 20 ø ø MIC (mg/L) MIC (mg/L)

> Dial.& ⊃ : dialysis session and immediately repeat temocillin dosage cycle.

Dial. \rightarrow Xg : Dialysis session immediately

concentrations, in patients undergoing intermittent haemodialysis. The solid line represents the median observed serum concentrations. The observed 5% and 95% percentiles are presented with dashed lines. The shaded dark grey represents a simulated-based 95% confidence intervals for the median, and the shaded light grey corresponds to the model predicted percentiles. Where shaded areas overlap darker shades can appear. The actual concentrations are not plotted in this figure.

followed by a temocillin dose of **X**g. Regimen F Regimen H Regimen F

Tables: Simulated temocillin dosage regimens (A to L) in 4 consecutive cycles, and corresponding PKPD breakpoint, i.e. highest MIC for which the PKPD target of $40\% fT > MIC_{16}$ is achieved in at least 90% of patients. All regimens assume an uniform weight distribution.

Graphs: Probability of treatment achieved (PTA) of 40% fT>MIC vs. MIC, for the simulated temocillin dosage regimens described in the tables. Displayed values are the 95% confidence lower bound on the binomial estimate of the PTA derived from 10,000 simulated patients per regimen. Greyed field corresponds to the area of treatment target failed, assuming a MIC and PTA cut-off of 16mg/L and 90%, respectively.

Conclusions

- ✓ A joint PK model of total and unbound serum concentrations described the time course of temocillin in patients undergoing haemodialysis. Off-dialysis was best described by a twocompartment model, non-linear binding to albumin (Langmuir model) and mixed order elimination. Dialysis clearance was best described by Michaelis equation. [2]
- ✓ The prediction ability of the model decreases after approximately 130h. This likely reflects the fact that there are few patients (less than half) that are followed up for longer that amount of time.

[1] Temocillin Summary of Product Characteristics 2014. http://www.fagg-afmps.be/fr Last accessed: 04-04-2016 [2] Michaels AS. Operating parameters and performance criteria for hemodialyzers and other membrane-separation devices. Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs (1966) 12:387-92.

Model-based simulations suggested a new temocillin dosing regimen for patients undergoing intermittent haemodialysis, in order to maintain drug concentrations over a MIC \leq 16 mg/L for at least 40% of the dosing interval. The typical thrice weekly hemodialysis regimen used in this study (regimen K) shows that patients would only be adequately treated (40% fT > MIC) for a MIC \leq 8mg/L.

Future clinical trials are warranted to confirm these results.

This poster will be made available for download after the meeting: http://www.facm.ucl.ac.be/posters.htm