

Torezolid (TR-700), a novel methyltetrazolyl-oxazolidinone, accumulates markedly within human THP-1 macrophages and shows activity towards intraphagocytic Legionella pneumophila: comparison with linezolid

Mailing address: P M Tulkens UCL 73.70 av. Mounier 73. 1200 Brussels - Belgium tulkens@facm.ucl.ac.be

## A1-1934

static conc.) than linezolid against phagocytized L. pneumophila, with

no change in values for maximal efficacy (E<sub>max</sub>); (ii) on an equipotent

concentration basis (multiples of MIC) no difference was seen

Conclusions. Compared to linezolid. TR-700 shows an increased

potency (lower static concentrations) towards intraphagocytic L.

pneumophila probably in relation with its higher intrinsic activity (lower

CKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank M.C. Cambier and C. Misson for

Reseach Associate of the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique

technical assistance, S.L. and F.V.B. are Post-doctoral and Senior

(FSR-FNRS). This work was supported by the Belgian Fonds de la

Recherche Scientifique Médicale (FRSM) and by a grant-in-aid from

between both antibiotics (see Figures and Tables in the poster)

MIC values).

Trius Therapeutics, San Diego, CA.

## Sandrine Lemaire, Francoise Van Bambeke, Paul M. Tulkens

Unité de pharmacologie cellulaire et moléculaire & Louvain Drug Research Institute, Brussels, Belgium,

## Abstract (revised) Background and aim Results agent of the Legionella pneumophila, the causative Background. Treatment of intracellular infections requires that Cellular accumulation of torezolid vs. linezolid within THP-1 cells MICs and intraphagocytic activities Antibiotics MIC (ma/L) antibiotics reach their intracellular target and express activity in the legionnaires's disease, is a facultative intracellular bacterium intracellular environment. The aim of the present study was to Linezolid 4-8 that easily invade and survive within human phagocytes (1-3). Torezo examine the cellular pharmacokinetic properties and intracellular Treatment of such infections remains challenging since the Figure 1. Kinetics of antibiotic 0.25-0.5 Torezolid activity of TR-700 towards L. pneumophila, in view of the higher uptake (extr. Concentration, 50 activity of antibiotics may differ markedly between the lipophilicity and intrinsic activity of this molecule in comparison with mg/L) within THP-1 macrophages. extracellular and intracellular milieu. - E- Linezolid - El- Linexolid linezolid The ordinate (Cc/Ce) shows the Torezolid apparent cellular to extracellular In this context, our aim was to assess the cellular pharmacoconcentration ratio. Methods. Human THP-1 macrophages and L. pneumophila strain . kinetic properties and intracellular activity of torezolid (TR-700, ATCC 33153 were used throughout. Cellular accumulation of 0 In contrast to linezolid. antibiotics were measured by microbiological assay. Susceptibility [4]), a novel oxazolidinone antibiotic, in view of its higher torezolid accumulates verv testings were determined in a-ketoglutarate Yeast Extract broth (pH lipophilicity and intrinsic activity. quickly within THP-1 6.9. 48 h). Dose-effect relationships at 24 h were examined for Linezolid macrophages reaching Cc/Ce concentrations from 0.01 to 100 x the MIC. Results, expressed as ą Methods values close to ~ 15 within 15 the change in the intracellular inoculum at 48 h compared to time 0. 8 °`a.º 25 én. 75 100 125 7 were used to fit a Hill equation to allow determination of the values of Cells. Experiments were performed with human THP-1 cells, a myelomonocytic time (min) two key pharmacological descriptors of antibiotic activity (relative cell line displaying macrophage-like activity. potency [EC<sub>so</sub> or 50% effective concentration] and maximal relative 2 1 0 á .4 à -2 Assay of cell-associated antibiotics. TR-700 and linezolid were assayed by efficacy [Emax]; see Barcia-Macay et al. AAC 50(3):841-51). Pertinent regression parameters of the dose-response curves Log<sub>10</sub> weight concentration (mg/L) Log<sub>10</sub> multiples of MIC the disc-plate method, using S. aureus ATCC 25923 as test organism. illustrated in figure 2 Figure 2. Concentration-killing effects of torezolid vs. linezolid towards L. pneumophila phagocytized by human Results. TR-700 accumulated quickly and extensively within THP-1 Bacterial strain and susceptibility testing. L. pneumophila strain ATCC THP-1 macrophages. The ordinate shows the change in cfu per mg of cell protein after 48 h compared with the original inoculum. Data are plotted against the weight concentration (mg/L; left-hand panel) or equipotent macrophages, reaching an apparent cellular to extracellular 33153 (Manassas, VA) was used thorough. MIC determinations were Dose-effect relationship could be modeled using a sigmoidal function (Hill equation, antibiotic concentrations (multiple of the MICs). All values are mean ± SD. concentration ratio of about within 15 min vs 1-2 for linezolid. Doseperformed in α-ketoglutarate Buffered Yeast Extract broth (pH 6.9, 48 h). R<sup>2</sup> > 0.96). effect relationships could be modeled using a sigmoidal function (Hill Torezolid is more potent than linezolid (lower EC50 and static conc.) against Cell infection and determination of the intracellular activities of antibiotics. equation, $R^2 > 0.96$ ), and showed that (i) on a weight concentration phagocytized L. pneumophila when drugs are compared on a weight Phagocytosis was initiated at a bacteria per macrophage ratio of 10 (2 h at THP-1 macrophages basis (mg/L), torezolid was more potent (lower values for EC<sub>60</sub> and concentration basis (mg/L) but not when drugs are compared on a multiples

Condition

Linezolid

Torezolid

arowth)

(bact, killing)

Emina

 $0.8 \pm 0.1$  (a)

 $1.3 \pm 0.2$  (b)

Emax

-1.2 ± 0.2 (a)

-1.2 ± 0.1 (a)

obtained by graphical intrapolation using the corresponding Hill equation

one-way ANOVA with Tukey test for multiple comparisons)

a Increase in log CFU compared to time 0 for an infinitely low concentration in antibiotic (bact.

<sup>b</sup> Decrease in log CFU compared to time 0 for an infinitely high concentration in antibiotic

<sup>c</sup> Concentration (mg/L) causing a reduction of the inoculum halfway between E0 and Emax, as

Statistical analysis for the differences between torezolid and linezolid: parameters with

different letters are significantly different from each other (p < 0.05; analysis per column by

EC50° (ma/L)

7.9 ± 1.6 (a)

(~ 1.1 x MIC (a))

 $0.2 \pm 1.3$  (b)

(~ 0.7 x MIC (a))

Static conc.d

~ 5.5 ma/L

(~ 0.7 x MIC)

~ 0.2 ma/L

(~ 0.8 x MIC)

of MIC basis. Relative efficacies (Emax) are similar.

## Conclusions

Torezolid shows a higher cellular accumulation and an increased relative potency against intraphagocytic L. pneumophila when concentrations are expressed as a weight basis, but not as multiples of MIC. This suggests that the main driver of antibiotic activity for torezolid, in comparison with linezolid, is its higher intrinsic activity, and not its greater cellular accumulation per se. Torezolid should demonstrate greater potency against intracellular organisms in vivo if used under conditions creating similar serum concentrations than linezolid.

This poster will be made available for download after the meeting: http://www.facm.ucl.ac.be/posters.htm

37°C), followed by elimination of non-phagocytosed bacteria by exposing the cells to 50 mg/L gentamicin (30-45 min). Cells were then transferred to fresh medium supplemented with increasing concentrations of antibiotics for 48 h. Results, expressed as the change in the intracellular inoculum at 48 h compared to time 0, were used to fit a Hill equation to allow determination of the values of two key pharmacological descriptors of antibiotic activity (relative potency [EC<sub>so</sub> or 50% effective concentration] and maximal relative efficacy [Email: see ref. 6 for a detailed description of the method).

(1) Isberg et al. Nat Rev Microbiol., 2009, 7:13-24.

References

(2) Kwaik YA, Mol Microbiol., 1998, 30; 689-695, (3) Fernandez-Moreira et al. Infect Immun. 2006, 74: 3285-3295 (4) Schaadt et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother., 2009, 53; 3236-3239. (5) Lemaire et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother., 2009, in press. (6) Barcia-Macay et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother., 2006, 50:841-51