





CLouvain

14/04/2019

## THINKING OUT OF THE BOX TO BEAT BIOFILMS

# Activity of drug combinations against staphylococcal biofilms

Françoise Van Bambeke, PharmD, PhD, ESCMID fellow

Pharmacologie cellulaire et moléculaire Louvain Drug Research Institute <www.facm.ucl.ac.be>



#### **Disclosures**

- Research grants for studies on biofilms (last 5 years) : Cempra, Melinta therapeutics
- Common research programs with OneLife, subsidized by the *Region Wallonne*, Belgium
- Research grants for studies on other topics (last 5 years): Debiopharm, GSK, Merlion pharmaceuticals, SMB
- Advisory board: Bayer

14/04/2019

### Staphylococcal biofilms ....





#### Staphylococcal biofilms ....a new point of view on how to cure them







#### **Staphylococcal biofilms and human infections**



### Staphylococcal biofilms: why do they cause persistent infections ? (1/2)





### Staphylococcal biofilms: why do they cause persistent infections ? (2/2)



14/04/2019

ECCMID 2019

### Staphylococcal biofilms: strategies currently under investigation



### Staphylococcal biofilms: strategies currently under investigation







#### **MBEC (Calgary device)**





#### **MBEC - checkerboard**





#### Metabolically

- inactive
- active

drug B



#### **MBEC - checkerboard**

	MIC (mg $L^{-1}$ )	MBEC (mg $L^{-1}$ )
Vancomycin	2	>2048
Daptomycin	0.38	1024
Linezolid	1	>1024
Tigecyline	0.25	1024
Rifampicin	0.008	N/A
Dicloxacillin	0.125ª	512

	Rifampicin (10 mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Vancomycin (25 mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Daptomycin (130 mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Linezolid (10 mg L <sup>-1</sup> )
Vancomycin (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	256	*	256	512
Daptomycin (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	1024	>2048	*	>2048
Linezolid (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	>1024	>1024	>1024	*
Tigecycline (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

But still >> clinically achievable concentrations !





#### Antibiotic combinations: from in vitro to in vivo models



Combinations do not seem more efficacious in vivo than drugs alone ...

ECCMID 2019

Jorgensen et al, Path Dis, 2016;74: ftw019



#### Combinations in microplates at fixed concentrations (free human C<sub>max</sub>)





concentrations

UCLouvain \_\_\_\_\_\_

ECCMID 2019

Siala et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62:pii: e00598-18



#### FUS + DAP at fCmin FUS + VAN at fCmin FUS + LZD at fCmin 140 140 140 120. 120 120-100 100 100 Combined drug at *f*Cmin alone % control value control value control value 80. 80 80 60 60-FUS alone [variable conc.] 60· \* 40-40. FUS FUS 20-FUS 20-20. DAP (f Cmin) VAN (f Cmin) LZD (f Cmin) Combined drug at *f*Cmin FUS + DAP (f Cmin) FUS + VAN (f Cmin FUS + LZD (f Cmin) + FUS [variable conc.] CT -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 CT -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.0 1.5 0.5 -0.5 0.0 1.0 1.5 CT -1.0 2.0 FUS log<sub>10</sub> concentration (mg/L) FUS log<sub>10</sub> concentration (mg/L) FUS log<sub>10</sub> concentration (mg/L) FUS + DAP at fC<sub>max</sub> FUS + LZD at fCmax FUS + VAN at fCmax 140 140 140 120-120 120 100 100 value % control value % control value Combined drug at *f*Cmax alone 80 80 control FUS alone [variable conc.] 60 60 % 40-\* FUS FUS FUS 20-20. 20-Combined drug at *f*Cmax LZD (f C<sub>max</sub>) VAN (f C<sub>max</sub>) DAP (f C<sub>max</sub>) FUS + DAP (f Cmax) FUS + VAN (f C<sub>max</sub>) FUS + LZD (f C<sub>max</sub>) + FUS [variable conc.] 0.0 0.5 1.0 CT -1.0 -0.5 1.5 2.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 CT -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 CT -1.0 1.5 2.0 FUS log<sub>10</sub> concentration (mg/L) FUS log<sub>10</sub> concentration (mg/L) FUS log<sub>10</sub> concentration (mg/L) **Combinations more effective** Siala et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62:pii: e00598-18

#### **Combinations in microplates at variable concentrations**

14/04/2019

UCLouvain

#### **Combinations in CDC bioreactor (mimicking human PK)**



16





Combinations of FUS + LZD and DAP still more effective ...but not combinations with VAN ?

Siala et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62:pii: e00598-18

#### **Combinations in CDC bioreactor (mimicking human PK)**







1 Rer vesati rotpropyrer coupon history exposite ware as har O Biobactinoa Tiedrinoiagian hi

El Haj et al, Intern. J. Antimicrob. Ag. 2018;51:854–61

UCLouvain 14/04/2019



### Staphylococcal biofilms: strategies currently under investigation



Suresh et al, Int J Med Microbiol. 2019;309:1-12

18

UCLouvain \_\_\_\_\_\_

#### Drug repurposing as a source of potentiators





Gupta et al, Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2013;34:508-17

19

UCLouvain 14/04/2019

Tom Coenve \*

ECCMID 2019

#### Drug repurposing as a source of potentiators: a possibly successful story?



20

14/04/2019

ECCMID 2019

**Fibrinolytic agents** 



Zapotoczna et al., PLoS Pathog. 2016;12:e1005671

UCLouvain 14/04/2019







Fibrin biofilm Zapotoczna et al., PLoS Pathog. 2016;12:e1005671

Hogan et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62. pii: e02008-17

22

Fibrin

Fibrinolytic agentscatheters in vitro

12 **Treatment of USA300 JE2 biofilm** 10 8 Log10 CFU □ Agent Alone 6 ■ & Vancomycin \* \* 4 ■ & Rifampin 2 ■ Vancomycin Tryple Streptokinase 🛯 Rifampin Plasmin Natokinase

Fibrin biofilm

<sup>otilm</sup> Zapotoczna et al., PLoS Pathog. 2016;12:e1005671

Hogan et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62. pii: e02008-17

UCLouvain 14/04/2019

ECCMID 2019





#### catheters in vivo



Fibrin biofilm Zapotoczna et al., PLoS Pathog. 2016;12:e1005671

Hogan et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62. pii: e02008-17

24

UCLouvain 14/04/2019

ECCMID 2019

#### **Combining antibiotics with enzymes destroying the matrix**



### Staphylococcal biofilms: strategies currently under investigation



Suresh et al, Int J Med Microbiol. 2019;309:1-12

#### Mode of action of antimicrobial peptides against biofilms





#### An example with nisin ...







B

untreated

CHL alone

Field et al, Front Microbiol. 2016;7:508

UCLouvain \_\_\_\_\_\_



#### An example with nisin ...







Nisin & derivatives

alone

Field et al, Front Microbiol. 2016;7:508

UCLouvain \_\_\_\_\_\_





Nisin & derivatives alone





#### An example with nisin ...











Nisin & derivatives alone



CHL + Nisin & derivatives







2.0-\*\*\* 1.5 0D492 \*\*\* 1.0 0.5- $\overline{\nabla}$ 0.0 CHL + Nisin & derivatives

Field et al, Front Microbiol. 2016;7:508

14/04/2019

UCLouvain

2.5

ECCMID 2019



#### Mode of action of antibodies against biofilms



UCLouvain \_\_\_\_\_\_

Raafat et al, Trends Microbiol. 2019;27:303-22



### Antibodies against biofilms to destroy the matrix

Dimer of histonelike prot. stabilizing eDNA



#### Epitope targeted by Ab TRL1068

**eDNA** 

#### In vitro (PEGs)



#### Staphylococcus aureus TRL1068: 1.2 μg/mL

#### **Growth Control**



#### Estelles et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60:2292-301







Xiong et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017;60:61:e00904-17



32

UCLouvain 14/04/2019

ECCMID 2019

### Staphylococcal biofilms: strategies currently under investigation







Conlon et al, Nature 2013;503: 365-70







S. aureus biofilm

S. aureus cells within extracellular matrix

#### **Biofilms in vivo (thigh deep infection)**



ADEPA

ADEPA





#### Staphylococcal biofilms: which are the best weapons to combine ?





### Staphylococcal biofilms: which are the best weapons to combine?

#### • Antibiotic combinations:

- Useful to prevent resistance
- Frequent synergy in vitro
- Sometimes synergy in vivo

#### • Repurposed drugs as potentiators:

- May accelerate development
- But consider active concentrations vs. therapeutic concentrations

#### • Peptides and antibodies:

• Promising, some are in clinical development

rifampicin / other bactericidal drugs?

- But ADME issues .....
  - Antipersisters:
    - Highly effective
    - Currently only preclinical preliminary data available





#### **Acknowledgments**

### UCLouvain





Julia Bauer

Wafi Siala Di

Yvan Diaz Iglesias





Sona Kucharíková



Patrick Van Dijck





