Fluoroquinolones: are they all the same (or not) ?





Paul M. Tulkens, MD, PhD



Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology Louvain Drug Research Institute *Université catholique de Louvain* Brussels, Belgium







With approval of the Belgian Common Ethical Health Platform – visa no. 16/V1/7383/078554

Disclosures and slides availability

- Research grants
 - Theravance, Astellas, Cempra, Targanta, Cerexa/Forest, AstraZeneca, Bayer, GSK, Trius, Rib-X, Debiopharm, Eumedica
 - Fund for Sientific Research (*F.R.S.-FNRS*), Federal Public Service "Public Health", Walloon and Brussels Regions, European Union (FP7 and JPIAMR)
- Speaker's honoraria
 - Bayer, GSK, Sanofi, Johnson & Johnson, OM-Pharma, Vifor
 - Flemish Education Program on Antibiotics (Opleidingsprogramma Antibioticabeleid Vlaanderen)
- Advisory Committees and Decision-making Bodies
 - US National Institutes of Health (grant reviewing)
 - General Assembly and former member of the Steering committee of EUCAST
 - External expert for the European Medicines Agency (EMA)
 - Former member Belgian Drug Reimbursement Committee (CRM / CTG)
 - Member of the Belgian Antibiotic Policy Coordination Committee (BAPCOC)
 - Governance of the EU program "DRIVE AB" (new economical framework for antibiotics)

Slides: <u>http://www.facm.ucl.ac.be</u> → Lectures

Belgium



10 millions inhabitants ...

10 Nobel prizes (10/850)

Peace

•

- Institute of International Law, Ghent (1904)
- Auguste Beernaert (1909)
- Henri Lafontaine (1913)
- Father Dominique Pire (1958)
- Literature
 - Maurice Maeterlinck, Ghent (1911)
- Medicine
 - Jules Bordet, Brussels (1919)
 - Corneille Heymans, Ghent (1938)
 - Christian de Duve, Louvain (1974)
 - Albert Claude, Brussels (1974)

Chemistry

- Ilya Prigogyne, Brussels (1977)
- Physics
 - François Englert, Brussels (2013)

The Catholic University of Louvain in brief (1 of 4)

• originally founded in **1425** in the city of **Louvain** (in French and English; known as **Leuven** in Flemish)



The Catholic University of Louvain in brief (2 of 4)

 It was one of the major University of the so-called "Low Countries" in the 1500 – 1800 period, with famous scholars and discoverers (Vesalius for anatomy, Erasmus for philosophy, ...). Teaching was in Latin, Greek, and Hebrew (College of the 3 languages...)



The University in the 1500's



Erasmus

Vesalius

The Catholic University of Louvain in brief (3 of 4)

 In the 19th century, teaching was in French but in the early 1900's, a Flemishspeaking section was opened. Courses were given in both languages, attracting many students and celebrities...



Prof. G. Lemaitre, professor of Physics and Mathematics at the University who, in the 1930's, made the first suggestion of the continuous expansion of the Universe (*"big bang"*) (here in conversation with A. Einstein) Professor C. de Duve, Professor of Biochemistry, obtained the Nobel Prize (Physiology and Medicine) in 1974 for his work on intracellular organelles (lysosomes, peroxisomes...)

(here in front of a centrifuge)



- in 1968, the University was divided into
 - a French-speaking Université catholique de Louvain
 - a Flemish-speaking Katholieke Universiteit Leuven...

The Catholic University of Louvain in brief (4 of 4)

- The Flemish-speaking *Katholieke Universiteit Leuven* has remained in Louvain (Leuven) and is named in English "Catholic Universiteit Leuven".
- The French-speaking Université catholique de Louvain has moved about 25 km South in a place called "Louvain-la-Neuve, with the "Health Sciences Sector" located in Brussels (Woluwé)



Together, the two Universities have about 55,000 students





- Teaching of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy
- Post-graduate training on Drug Development
- Launching of Clinical Pharmacy in Europe
- Web-based courses on anti-infective Pharmacology
- 30 graduating students, doctoral fellows and post-graduate fellows working on antiinfective therapy (laboratory and clinical applications)



A partial view of our University Clinic (900 beds) and the Education and Research buildings (5,000 students), in the outskirts of Brussels, Belgium

- Toxicity, medicinal chemistry, and improved schedules of aminoglycosides
- one-line monitoring of β -lactams
- novel antibiotics (and last studied)
 - lipoglycopeptides (oritavancin...)
 - beta-lactams (ceftaroline...)
 - fluoroquinolones (finafloxacin...)
 - ketolides (solithromycin...)
 - oxazolidinones (tedizolid ...)

www.facm.ucl.ac.be

- Editorial board of AAC and IJAA
- Member of the General Committee of EUCAST (for ISC) and of its Steering committee (2008-10)
- Member of the Belgian Antibiotic Policy Coordination Committee
- Founder and Past President of the International Society of Antiinfective Pharmacology (ISAP)



Why do I have an interest in fluoroquinolones ?



Why do I have an interest in fluoroquinolones ?



Why do I have an interest in fluoroquinolones ?



What shall we discuss ?

- The basics: how were quinolones invented ? (are they different by design ?)
- The real life in the lab: microbiological properties and risk of resistance... (or how to really differentiate them ...)
- The real life in the doctor's office: efficacy and tolerance... (is there a difference) ?
- Should we use the "best in class" ?

What shall we discuss ?

- The basics: how were quinolones invented ? (are they different by design ?)
- The real life in the lab: microbiological properties and risk of resistance... (or how to really differentiate them ...)
- The real life in the doctor's office: efficacy and tolerance... (is there a difference) ?
- Should we use the "best in class" ?

Mechanism of action of fluoroquinolones: the basics...



2 key enzymes in DNA replication:



topoisomerase IV

bacterial DNA is supercoiled

A bit of history: from chloroquine to nalidixic acid...



From nalidixic acid to the 1st fluoroquinolone



* 6-fluoro-7-pyrimidino-quinoleine

From norfloxacin to ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin



Levofloxacin is the active isomer of ofloxacin



The active form of ofloxacin is the (-) S isomer The (+) R isomer is inactive but toxic

* Eur. pat. 206,283 to Daiichi, 1987

The present "first generation" of fluoroquinolones ...



How to improve the chemotherapeutic usefulness of the "first generation" fluoroquinolones

1. Maintain broad Gram(-) activity ?

2. Further Improve Gram(+) activity ?.º

3. Acquire activity against anaerobes ?

0

 \bigcirc

"2d generation"

"3d generation"





At this point ...



This is by design !

What shall we discuss ?

- The basics: how were quinolones invented ? (are they different by design ?)
- The real life in the lab: microbiological properties and risk of resistance...
 (or how to really differentiate them ...)
- The real life in the doctor's office: efficacy and tolerance... (is there a difference) ?
- Should we use the "best in class" ?

A unbiased estimation of antibiotic activity (in the absence of resistance)

 Cryanization

 UCAST News

 Clinical breakpoints

 Expert rules

 Resistance mechanisms

 MC distributions & ECOFFs

 Zone distributions & ECOFFs

 Antifungal susceptibility testing (AFS)

EUCAST is a standing committee jointly organized by ESCMID, ECDC and European national breakpoint committees. EUCAST deals with breakpoints and technical aspects of phenotypic in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility testing and functions as the breakpoint committee of EMA and ECDC. EUCAST does not deal with antibiotic policies, surveillance or containment of resistance or infection control. The Steering Committee is the decision making body. It is supported by a General Committee with representatives from European and other countries, FESCI and ISC. The Steering Committee also consults on EUCAST proposals with experts within the fields of infectious diseases and microbiology, pharmaceutical companies and susceptibility testing device manufacturers.

MIC distributions and epidemiological cut-off

AST of veterinary pathogens

EUCAST Presentations

Meetings

Documents

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

MIC for Gram-negative bacteria : *E. coli* as an example

Ciprofloxacin / Escherichia coli International MIC Distribution - Reference Database 2015-02-08



Levofloxacin / Escherichia coli International MIC Distribution - Reference Database 2015-02-08 MIC distributions include collated data from multiple sources, geographical areas and time periods and can never be used to infer rates of resistance 60 50 levofloxacin 40 microorganisms 30 **%** 20 10 ≤ 0.002 0.008 0.015 0.004 0.03 0.06 0.12 0.25 용 MIC (mg/L) 256 512 128 S ω 64 Epidemiological cut-off (ECOFF): 0.25 mg/L Wildtype (WT) organisms: ≤ 0.25 mg/L 9144 observations (5 data sources)



22/03/2016

MIC for Gram-positive bacteria: S. pneumoniae as an example



Anaerobes: B. fragilis

Moxifloxacin / Bacteroides fragilis International MIC Distribution - Reference Database 2015-02-08

MIC distributions include collated data from multiple sources, geographical areas and time periods and can never be used to infer rates of resistance



Killing abilities of fluoroquinolones: Are they all equal against susceptible strains ?

in vitro kill curves: observations with S. pneumoniae



Fig. 1. Time kill curves of moxifloxacin versus levofloxacin against *S. pneumoniae* 7362 (average of 2 models).

Schafer et al. Diag Microb Infect Dis 2008; 60:155-161

Killing abilities of fluoroquinolones: Are they all equal against less susceptible strains ?

Animal survival experiments (S. pneumonia i.p. inoculations)



strain	MIC (mg/L)	
	MXF	LVX
AR33118 (II)	0.12	1
FL2812 (●)	0.25	2
FL5629 (*)	4	32

Hint: lower dose (more to the left) \rightarrow more potent antibiotic !

Huelves et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2006; 27:294–299

The risk for resistance to fluoroquinolones is to be "within the mutation selection window" ...



Time after administration

concept from Drlica & Zhao, Rev. Med. Microbiol. 2004, 15:73-80

C_{max} and "Mutant Prevention Concentration" (MPC) ...



Dong et al: AAC 1999; 43:1756-1758

"Mutant Prevention Concentration ..." Concentration that MIC ₉₉ = **0.8** inhibits the majority of the organisms 10⁻² Surviving bacteria 10⁻⁴ 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁸ A concentration of about 10 x the MIC is 10⁻¹⁰-MPC $_{10} = 9$ needed to prevent selecting resistant subpopulations ! 0.01 0.10 1.00 10.00 concentration

Dong et al; AAC 43:1756-1758

MPC: moxifloxacin vs levofloxacin



Pharmacokinetics and "resistance" breakpoint vs. MIC


Hs resistance to moxifloxacin materialized: evidence for *S. pneumoniae* in Belgium from 1999 to 2014 *



* Moxifloxacin was introduced in Belgiumin 2001 and became the almost only fluoroquinolone used for RTI since 2004

From data of a <u>national</u> collection

- Non invasive respiratory tract infections
- similar results in 2008 for a collection of *S.pneumoniae* from clinically-confirmed CAP (n=132)
- Surveys from the Belgian Scientific Institute for Public Health for *S. pneumoniae* from community isolates (n=156 in 1999 and 312 in 2014)
- Data available yearly for 1999 through 2014 at <u>http://www.iph.fgov.be</u>

Vanhoof *et al.* 19th ECCMID, Helsinki, 2009 Ceyssens *et al.* 35th RICAI, Paris, 2015 Ceyssens *et al.* submitted

What shall we discuss ?

- The basics: how were quinolones invented ? (are they different by design ?)
- The real life in the lab: microbiological properties and risk of resistance...
 (or how to really differentiate them ...)
- The real life in the doctor's office: efficacy and tolerance... (is there a difference) ?
- Should we use the "best in class" ?

Head to head comparison...

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2006;42:73-81.

MAJOR ARTICLE

Community-Acquired Pneumonia Recovery in the Elderly (CAPRIE): Efficacy and Safety of Moxifloxacin Therapy versus that of Levofloxacin Therapy

Antonio Anzueto,¹² Michael S. Niederman,³ James Pearle,⁴ Marcos I. Restrepo,¹² Albrecht Heyder,⁵ and Shurjeel H. Choudhri,⁶ for the Community-Acquired Pneumonia Recovery in the Elderly Study Group^{*}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, and ²Veterans Evidence Based Research Dissemination and Implementation Center, Department of Medicine, South Texas Veterans Healthcare System, San Antonio, Texas; ³Department of Medicine, Winthrop-University Hospital, Mineola, New York; ⁴California Research Medical Group, Fullerton, California; ⁶Carolina Research Specialists, Elizabeth City, North Carolina; and ⁶Bayer Pharmaceuticals, West Haven, Connecticut

Head to head comparison...



and results in a snapshot...



Clinical outcomes

Clinical cure rate according to severity of CAP



and results in a snapshot...



Clinical outcomes

Moxifloxacin Levofloxacin

Efficacy over combination: moxifloxacin alone against levofloxacin PLUS ceftriaxone in CAP !

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2008; 46:1499-509.

MAJOR ARTICLE

Moxifloxacin Monotherapy Is Effective in Hospitalized Patients with Community-Acquired Pneumonia: The MOTIV Study—A Randomized Clinical Trial

Antoni Torres,¹ Javier Garau,² Pierre Arvis,³ Jean Carlet,⁴ Shurjeel Choudhri,⁵ Amar Kureishi,⁶ Marie-Aude Le Berre,³ Hartmut Lode,⁷ John Winter,⁸ and Robert C. Read,⁹ for the MOTIV (MOxifloxacin Treatment IV) Study Group^a

¹Servei de Pneumologia i Allèrgia Respiratòria, Institut Clinic del Torax, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, IDIBAPS, Facultat de Medicina, Consorcio CIBER del área de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CB06/06/0028), and ²Hospital Mútua de Terrassa, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ³Bayer HealthCare, Puteaux, and ⁴Intensive Care Unit and Infectious Diseases Department, Fondation-Hôpital Saint-Joseph, Paris, France; ⁵Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Toronto, Canada; ⁶Bayer HealthCare Company, Beijing, People's Republic of China; ⁷Institute for Clinical Pharmacology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany, and ⁸Department of Medicine, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, and ⁹Section of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, Sheffield University Medical School, Sheffield, United Kingdom

Efficacy: moxifloxacin alone against levofloxacin PLUS ceftriaxone in CAP !

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2008; 46:1499-509.

MAJOR ARTICLE

Moxifloxacin Monotherapy Is Effective in Hospitalized Patients with Community-Acquired Pneumonia: The MOTIV Study—A Randomized Clinical Trial

Antoni Torres,¹ Javier Hartmut Lode,⁷ John \

¹Servei de Pneumologia i A CIBER del área de Enferme ³Bayer HealthCare, Puteaux ⁵Bayer HealthCare Pharmac Pharmacology, Charité-Univ and ⁹Section of Infection, I

Background to the study

- Designed to further demonstrate the efficacy and safety of moxifloxacin in CAP
- According to European (EMA; CPMP) guidelines
 - Potent comparator (ceftriaxone/levofloxacin b.i.d.)
 - Review of the data by independent committees (clinical response, chest X-ray, cardiac events)
 - Non-inferiority trial: can do as well as this combination?

What was done in MOTIV ?



* moxifloxacin: 400 mg/day
 ** levofloxacin: 500 mg twice a day /ceftriaxone: 2g/day

Which patients ?

Characteristic		Moxifloxacin (N=291)	Ceftriaxone + levofloxacin (N=278)
Age (years; mean \pm SD)		$\textbf{66.0} \pm \textbf{16.2}$	$\textbf{64.8} \pm \textbf{16.7}$
Male sex, n (%)		191 (65.6)	164 (59.0)
Cardiac comorbidity, n (%)		89 (30.6)	90 (32.4)
Duration of CAP symptoms prior to enrolment, mean days \pm SD		5.0 ± 3.5	4.6 ± 2.8
Failure on previous systemic antimicrobials, n (%)		39 (13.4)	40 (14.4)
Intensive care admission	, n (%)	25 (8.6)	30 (10.8)
PSI distribution	Class III, n (%)	122 (41.9)	111 (39.9)
	Class IV, n (%)	138 (47.4)	134 (48.2)
	Class V, n (%)	31 (10.7)	33 (11.9)

All differences were non-significant

And what did you get ?

Clinical cure at test of cure – overall



Clinical cure at test of cure PSI class IV–V



And what did you get ?

Clinical cure at test of cure – overall



moxifloxacin 400 mg daily was not inferior to a combination of levofloxacin 2 x 500 mg daily plus ceftriaxone 2 g daily

We all agree about efficacy, but what about side effects...



All antimicrobials have associated risks *

Class	Drugs	Frequent or serious side effects
fluoroquinolones	levofloxacin	 Anaphylactic reactions and allergic skin reactions <i>Clostridium difficile</i>-associated colitis Hematologic toxicity Hepatotoxicity (ALT-AST elevation [common]) Central nervous system effects: headache, insomnia, dizziness, convulsions Musculoskeletal: tendinopathies Peripheral neuropathy Prolongation of the QTc interval (cardiac disorders [rare]) Hypoglycaemia (rare) Digestive tract: nausea, diarrhoea
	moxifloxacin	 Anaphylactic reactions and allergic skin reactions <i>Clostridium difficile</i>-associated colitis Hepatotoxicity (ALT-AST elevation [common]) Musculoskeletal: Tendinopathies Peripheral neuropathy Prolongation of the QT interval (cardiac disorders [rare]) Central nervous system effects: headache, insomnia, dizziness, convulsions Digestive tract: nausea, diarrhoea

* based on an analysis of the current respective labelling (European SmPC)

- common: 1/10 to 1/100

- rare: 1/1000-1/10000

Note: the current EU SmPCs of levofloxacin (TAVANIC®) and of moxifloxacin state:

- For [community-acquired pneumonia], TAVANICc should be used only when it is considered inappropriate to use antibacterial agents that are commonly recommended for the initial treatment of these infections.
- Moxifloxacin should be used only when it is considered inappropriate to use antibacterial agents that are commonly recommended for the initial treatment of these infections.





ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Drugs R D 2012; 12 (2): 71-100 1179-6901/12/0002-0071

Adis © 2012 Tulkens et al., publisher and licensee Springer International Publishing AG. This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons License ``Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative 3.0'' (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/) which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction, provided the original work is properly cited and not altered.

Moxifloxacin Safety An Analysis of 14 Years of Clinical Data

Paul M. Tulkens,¹ Pierre Arvis² and Frank Kruesmann³

- 1 Pharmacologie cellulaire et moléculaire & Centre de Pharmacie clinique, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium
- 2 Bayer Santé SAS, Loos, France
- 3 Bayer Pharma AG, Wuppertal, Germany

Based on the analysis of 14,681 patients treated with moxifloxacin vs. 15,023 patients treated with comparators

Distribution of patients valid for the safety analysis, stratified by route of administration (oral only; intravenous followed by oral [sequential]; intravenous only) and by comparator

Study design and	Treatment route [n]							
COMP	PO [n=21 298]		IV/PO [n=684	16]	IV only [n=1	860]		
	MXF [n=10613]	COMP [n=10685]	MXF [n=3431]	COMP [n=3415]	MXF [n=937]	COMP [n=923]		
Double-blind studies								
β-lactam	2391	2104	1077	1034	408	390		
β -lactam + macrolide	274	155	0	0	0	0		
Fluoroquinolone	2246	2287 ^a	444	457 ^b	0	0		
Macrolide	3659	2929	0	0	0	0		
Other	1230	1168 ^c	368	365 ^d	180	181 ^e		
Total	8822 ^f	8643	1889	1856	588	571		
Open-label studies								
β-lactam	1318	1301	554	547	0	0		
β -lactam + macrolide	186	190	0	0	0	0		
β -lactam \pm macrolide	0	0	532	549	0	0		
Fluoroquinolone	263	270 ^g	0	0	349	352 ^g		
Macrolide	287	281	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	456	463 ^h	0	0		
Total	1 <i>7</i> 91 ^f	2042	1542	1559	349	352		

PO= oral

IV = intravenous

MXF: moxifloxacin

COMP = comparator (see left column)

Tulkens et al., Drugs R D (2012) 12: 71-100

Table III. Summary of safety data for patients valid for the safety analysis, treated with moxifloxacin or a comparator and stratified by route of administration (oral only; intravenous followed by oral [sequential]; intravenous only) and by study design. An asterisk (*) indicates differences observed between treatment groups in disfavor of moxifloxacin that were $\geq 2.5\%$ for events with an incidence $\geq 2.5\%$ in both groups or ≥ 2 -fold for events with an incidence < 2.5% in one or both groups and for which the number of patients experiencing an event was ≥ 10 in either group

Study design and event	Treatment rout	te [n (%)]				
Double-blind studies	PO [n=17465]	IV/PO [n=374	15]	IV [n=1159]	
	MXF [n=8822]	COMP [n=8643]	MXF [n=1889]	COMP [n=1856]	MXF [n=588]	COMP [n=571]
Any AE	3782 (42.9)	3711 (42.9)	1202 (63.6)	1138 (61.3)	305 (51.9)*	253 (44.3)
Any ADR	2211 (25.1)	2026 (23.4)	455 (24.1)	439 (23.7)	85 (14.5)	83 (14.5)
SAE	318 (3.6)	316 (3.7)	315 (16.7)	282 (15.2)	74 (12.6)*	54 (9.5)
SADR	47 (0.5)	48 (0.6)	53 (2.8)	46 (2.5)	9 (1.5)	7 (1.2)
Premature discontinuation due to AE	366 (4.1)	337 (3.9)	144 (7.6)	131 (7.1)	16 (2.7)	9 (1.6)
Premature discontinuation due to ADR	261 (3.0)	251 (2.9)	74 (3.9)	63 (3.4)	4 (0.7)	4 (0.7)
AE with fatal outcome	28 (0.3)	36 (0.4)	66 (3.5)	54 (2.9)	21 (3.6)	13 (2.3)
ADR with fatal outcome ^{a,b,c}	3 (<0.1)	4 (<0.1)	3 (0.2)	3 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)
Open-label studies	PO [n=3833]		IV/PO [n=3101]		IV [n=701]	
	MXF [n=1791]	COMP [n=2042]	MXF [n=1542]	COMP [n=1559]	MXF [n=349]	COMP [n=352]
Any AE	764 (42.7)*	766 (37.5)	891 (57.8)	899 (57.7)	86 (24.6)	84 (23.9)
Any ADR	330 (18.4)*	325 (15.9)	348 (22.6)	315 (20.2)	49 (14.0)	50 (14.2)
SAE	104 (5.8)	96 (4.7)	280 (18.2)	245 (15.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)
SADR	12 (0.7)*	5 (0.2)	42 (2.7)*	19 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Premature discontinuation due to AE	70 (3.9)	67 (3.3)	137 (8.9)	109 (7.0)	21 (6.0)*	11 (3.1)
Premature discontinuation due to ADR	51 (2.8)	49 (2.4)	66 (4.3)	54 (3.5)	17 (4.9)	9 (2.6)
AE with fatal outcome	10 (0.6)	15 (0.7)	64 (4.2)	80 (5.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
ADR with fatal outcome ^d	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (<0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

- AE, ADR and SADR were mainly gastrointestinal disorders and "changes observed during investigations" such as asymptomatic QT prolongation.
- Incidence rates of hepatic disorders, tendon disorders, surrogates of QT prolongation, serious cutaneous reactions and *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhoea were similar with moxifloxacin and comparators.

Study design and event	Treatment rou	te [n (%)]				
Double-blind studies	PO [n=17465]	IV/PO [n=374	15]	IV [n=1159]	
	MXF [n=8822]	COMP [n=8643]	MXF [n=1889]	COMP [n=1856]	MXF [n=588]	COMP [n=571]
Any AE	3782 (42.9)	3711 (42.9)	1202 (63.6)	1138 (61.3)	305 (51.9)*	253 (44.3)
Any ADR	2211 (25.1)	2026 (23.4)	455 (24.1)	439 (23.7)	85 (14.5)	83 (14.5)
SAE	318 (3.6)	316 (3.7)	315 (16.7)	282 (15.2)	74 (12.6)*	54 (9.5)
SADR	47 (0.5)	48 (0.6)	53 (2.8)	46 (2.5)	9 (1.5)	7 (1.2)
Premature discontinuation due to AE	366 (4.1)	337 (3.9)	144 (7.6)	131 (7.1)	16 (2.7)	9 (1.6)
Premature discontinuation due to ADR	261 (3.0)	251 (2.9)	74 (3.9)	63 (3.4)	4 (0.7)	4 (0.7)
AE with fatal outcome	28 (0.3)	36 (0.4)	66 (3.5)	54 (2.9)	21 (3.6)	13 (2.3)
ADR with fatal outcome ^{a,b,c}	3 (<0.1)	4 (<0.1)	3 (0.2)	3 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)
Open-label studies	PO [n=3833]		IV/PO [n=3101]		IV [n=701]	
	MXF [n=1791]	COMP [n=2042]	MXF [n=1542]	COMP [n=1559]	MXF [n=349]	COMP [n=352]
Any AE	764 (42.7)*	766 (37.5)	891 (57.8)	899 (57.7)	86 (24.6)	84 (23.9)
Any ADR	330 (18.4)*	325 (15.9)	348 (22.6)	315 (20.2)	49 (14.0)	50 (14.2)
SAE	104 (5.8)	96 (4.7)	280 (18.2)	245 (15.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)
SADR	12 (0.7)*	5 (0.2)	42 (2.7)*	19 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Premature discontinuation due to AE	70 (3.9)	67 (3.3)	137 (8.9)	109 (7.0)	21 (6.0)*	11 (3.1)
Premature discontinuation due to ADR	51 (2.8)	49 (2.4)	66 (4.3)	54 (3.5)	17 (4.9)	9 (2.6)
AE with fatal outcome	10 (0.6)	15 (0.7)	64 (4.2)	80 (5.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
ADR with fatal outcome ^d	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (<0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)







Are all fluoroquinolones equal ? -- Singapore





Patients at risk ?







Patients at risk ?





Comparison with other drugs ?

A. oral therapy

1. moxifloxacin $vs \beta$ -lactams



relative risk estimate (moxifloxacin / comparator)



2. moxifloxacin vs macrolides

22/03/2016

Hepatotoxicity in large populations

Crude incidence rates of acute liver injury caused by antibiotics

		Incidence			
Antibiotic	population	per 100,000 users	per 100,000 prescriptions	endpoint	Ref.
fluoroquinolones (w/o moxifloxacin)	Outpatient clinic, Sweden (1995-2005)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)		International consensus	[1]
moxifloxacin	Outpatient clinic, Sweden (1995-2005)	0.08 (0.0-0.5)		International consensus	[1]
cotrimoxazole	Saskatchewan Health Plan, Canada (1982-1986)	1.0 (0.2-5.7)	4.9 (0.9-27.6)	International consensus, hospitalisation	[2]
erythromycin	Saskatchewan Health Plan, Canada (1982-1986)	2.0 (0.7-5.9)	14.0 (4.8-41.2)	International consensus, hospitalisation	[2]
amoxicillin- clavulanic acid	General practice research database, United Kingdom (1991-1992)	22.5 (14.7-34.4)	17.4 (11.4-26.5)	International consensus	[3]

1. De Valle et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006 Oct 15; 24(8): 1187-95

2. Perez et al. Epidemiology 1993 Nov; 4(6): 496-501

3. Garcia-Rodriguez et al. Arch Intern Med 1996 Jun 24; 156(12): 1327-32

Van Bambeke & Tulkens, Drug Safety (2009) 32:359-78

Hepatotoxicity from literature surveys

Hepatotoxicity risk of antibiotics

(percentage of prescriptions for antibiotics with main indications for use in the community setting)

Ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and moxifloxacin	Tetracycline	Erythromycin, clarithromycin and penicillins	Co-trimoxazole an amoxicillin/ clavulanate	d Telithromycin and trovafloxacin
Isolated cases and ≤0.00007	≤0.0002	≤0.004	≤0.02 [Acute liver failure, high mortality ? Withdrawal or severe restriction does not allow calculating true incidences

Andrade & Tulkens, JAC (2011) 66: 1431-46

QTc prolongation





Owens & Ambrose CID (2005) 41:S144-157

EMA position



November 2005 CHMP/ICH/2/04

NOTE FOR GUIDANCE ON THE CLINICAL EVALUATION OF QT/QTc INTERVAL PROLONGATION AND PROARRHYTHMIC POTENTIAL FOR NON-ANTIARRHYTHMIC DRUGS (CHMP/ICH/2/04)

... the risk of arrhythmias appears to increase with the extent of QT/QTc prolongation.

- Drugs [with] QT/QTc interval by around 5 ms or less do not appear to cause TdP.
- ...data on drugs [with] QT/QTc interval by... 5 to < 20 ms are inconclusive, but some of these compounds have been associated with proarrhythmic risk.*



... decisions about [drug] development and approval will depend upon the **morbidity** and mortality associated with the untreated disease or disorder and the demonstrated clinical benefits of the drug, especially as they compare with available therapeutic modalities.

^{*} this includes erythromycin and clarithromycin (Balardinelli et al, TIPS (2003) 24:619-625)

Torsade de pointe: comparison of risk

reporting rate of *Torsades de pointe* induced by antibiotics

drug	No. of U.S. Cases Reported to the FDA	No. of Estimated Total U.S. Prescriptions (millions)	No. of Cases /10 Millions Prescriptions (95% CI)	d as
moxifloxacin	0	1.4	0 (0-26) nega cont	tive trol
ciprofloxacin	2	66	0.3 (0.0-1.1)	СТ
ofloxacin	2	9.5	2.1 (0.3-7.6)	
levofloxacin	13	24	5.4 (2.9-9.3)	
gatifloxacin	8	3	27 (12-53)	
erythromycin	11 –17	151	0.7 -1.1	
clarithromycin	16 –31	90	1.8 -3.4	
azithromycin	7 –10	124	0.6–1 FDA warni	ng
cefuroxime	1 -1	42	0.2 –1 <i>March 12,20</i>	013

Van Bambeke & Tulkens, Drug Safety (2009) 32:359-78

Tendinopathies: main features and incidence...

TABLE 1. Characteristic features of fluoroq	uinolone-induced tendinopathy/tendon rupture
FEATURE	OBSERVATIONS/FINDINGS 2010
Causative quinolones reported ^{6,9,10}	Ciprofloxacin (most commonly reported), norfloxacin, pefloxacin, ofloxacin, levofloxacin
Associated risk factors ^{11,31,33-37}	Age >60 years, corticosteroid therapy, renal failure, diabetes mellitus, history of tendon rupture
Relative risk of tendon disorders ^{3,16,31}	 1.7-fold increase for all tendinopathies 1.3-fold increase for tendon rupture 4.1-fold increase of Achilles tendon rupture 46-fold increase of tendon rupture with concurrent corticosteroid exposure 1.5-fold increase in tendon disorders if age >60 years 2.7-fold increase in tendon rupture if age >60 years
Affected tendons ^{11,33,44}	Achilles tendon most commonly affected (89.8% of cases) Multiple other tendons reported Up to 50% of cases with bilateral involvement Symptoms of tendinitis often precede tendon rupture by up to 2 weeks
Latency period of tendinopathy ^{3,4,6,15}	Median onset of 6 days (85% of cases within first month) Up to 50% of cases after fluoroquinolone discontinued

Kim & Del Rosso, J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2010; 3:49–54.

1

Tendinopathies...

• In 2005, all fluoroquinolones marketed in the US have received a black box label about tendinopathies

WARNING: Fluoroquinolones, including LEVAQUIN[®], are associated Fluoroquinolones, including AVELOX[®], are associated with an With an increased risk of tendinitis and tendon rupture in increased risk of tendinitis and tendon rupture in all ages. This r further increased in older patients usually over 60 years of age all ages. This risk is further increased in older patients patients taking corticosteroid drugs, and in patients with kidy WARNING: usually over 60 years of age, in patients taking panents taking corticosteroite unugs, and in panents with or lung transplants. [see Warnings and Precautions (5.1)] usually over ou years or age, in Parients values corticosteroid drugs, and in patients with kidney, heart or or jung transplants. [See warnings and Frecultions (3.1)] Fluoroquinolones, including AVELOX, may exacerbate n lung transplants [See Warnings ruoroquinoiones, including Aveluo, may exacervate n weakness in persons with myasthenia gravis. Avoid AVF patients with known history of myasthenia gravis [see Wark recautions (5.1)]. Precautions (5.2).] (NORFLOXACIN) TABLETS WARNING: Fluoroquinolones, including Noroxin, are associated with an increased risk of tendinitis and tendon rupture in all ages. This risk is further increased in older patients usually over 60 years of age, in patients taking corticosteroid drugs, and in patients with kidney, heart or lung transplants (see WARNINGS). Fluoroquinolones, including Noroxin, may exacerbate muscle weakness in persons with myasthenia gravis. Avoid Noroxin in patients with known history of myasthenia gravis (see WARNINGS).

Tendinopathies...

• But this is what we found for moxifloxacin in our survey of the whole clinical trial datbase

Table VII. Incidence of selected treatment-emergent adverse events presented by Standard MedDRA Queries/ Bayer MedDRA Queries and preferred terms in patients valid for the safety analysis, treated with moxifloxacin or a comparator and stratified by route of administration (oral only; intravenous followed by oral [sequential]; intravenous only).



Tulkens et al., Drugs R D (2012) 12: 71-100

Tendinopathies: incidences (revisited)...





Levofloxacin (LEVAQUIN) Cases Lead Antibiotics

While antibiotics rank among the safest drugs we monitor, <u>levofloxacin</u> (LEVAQUIN) was suspect in more reports of serious injury than any other antibiotic. Most cases involved tendon rupture and other muscle, tendon and ligament injuries. Case reports of this problem substantially outnumbered those for two chemically similar drugs—ciprofloxacin (CIPRO), with greater volume of prescriptions, and moxifloxacin (AVELOX), with somewhat less frequent medical use.

Tendinopathies: incidences (revisited)...

Institute for Safe Medication Practices A Nonprofit Organization Educating the Healthcare Community and Ca About Safe Medication Practices OuarterWatch: 2010 Quarter	onsumers A tederaity certified Patient Safety Organization		in 2011	_			
Table 2. Tendon disorders	for fluoroqui	nolone antibio	tics 2010q2.				
	Levofloxacin	Ciprofloxacin	Moxifloxacin				
Total Rx (millions)*	2.1	5.3	1.5				
Case Reports	246	105	93				
% Direct to FDA	52%	71%	42%				
% Health Professionals	53%	59%	76%				
Tendon Disorders (HLT)	93	29	10				
All Musculoskeletal	156	62	20	se			
*IMS Health National Prescription Audit ™ 2010							
(AVELOX), with somewhat l	ess frequent med	ical use.					

Moxifloxacin safety: a conclusion...

LEADING ARTICLE

Drug Safety 2009; 32 (5): 359-378 0114-5916/09/0005-0359/\$49.95/0

© 2009 Adis Data Information BV. All rights reserved.

Safety Profile of the Respiratory Fluoroquinolone Moxifloxacin

Comparison with Other Fluoroquinolones and Other Antibacterial Classes



Françoise Van Bambeke and Paul M. Tulkens

Unité de pharmacologie cellulaire et moléculaire & Centre de Pharmacie Clinique, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

> The data show that using moxifloxacin, in its accepted indications and following the corresponding guidelines, should not be associated with an excessive incidence of drug-related adverse reactions, provided the clinician takes care in identifying patients with known risk factors and pays due attention to the contraindications and warnings mentioned in the labelling.

What shall we discuss ?

- The basics: how were quinolones invented ? (are they different by design ?)
- The real life in the lab: microbiological properties and risk of resistance...
 (or how to really differentiate them ...)
- The real life in the doctor's office: efficacy and tolerance... (is there a difference) ?
- Should we use the "best in class" ?

What shall we discuss ?

- The basics: how were quinolones invented ? (are they different by design Why not leaving this to the discussion ? but remembering: The real life in the lab: mid resistance... 400 mg once daily (or how to really differentiate or 2 x 500 mg daily The real life in the doctor's (is there a difference)? or ... (have your pick !)
- Should we use the "best in class"?
Thank you for your attention!



Ternary complex DNA - enzyme - fluoroquinolone



"GyraseCiproTop" by Fdardel - Own work. Licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0 via Wikimedia Commons http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:GyraseCiproTop.png#mediaviewer/File:GyraseCiproTop.png Last accessed: 8/2/2015

Resistance to fluoroquinolones: the basics



Chemistry and Activity



0



From norfloxacin to ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin



From norfloxacin to ciprofloxacin



* Ger. pat. 3,142,854 to Bayer AG, 1983

The "first generation" of fluoroquinolones



Ternary complex DNA - enzyme - fluoroquinolone



(Shen, in Quinolone Antimicrobial Agents, 1993)

Fluoroquinolones are the first entirely man-made antibiotics: do we understand our molecule ?



Don't panic, we will travel together....

The pharmacophore common to all fluoroquinolones



AUTO-ASSEMBLING DOMAIN (for stacking)

Nalidixic acid *



 typical chemical features of fluoroquinolones (a, b, c) BUT a naphthyridone (N at position 8:

limited usefulness as drug

narrow antibacterial spectrum
(Enterobacteriaceae only)
short half-life (1.5h)
high protein binding (90%)

* Belg. pat. 612,258 to Sterling Drugs, 1962

The "second generation" fluoroquinolones





a: Toyama, 1988 (?); b: Dainippon, 1985-1987; c: Otskuda, 1989; d: Kyorin, 1988

The "third / fourth generation" fluoroquinolones

_1960	1970	19 <u>8</u> 0	1990	2000
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		



a:Kyorin, 1987; b: Pfizer, 1993; c: Bayer, 1994; d: LG Chemical Ltd., S. Korea, 1994-98

Resistance must first be assessed by MIC distributions

 Resistance of Gram-negative (ciprofloxacin/levofloxacin) is widespread and must be assessed locally (often ward by ward)



Resistance must first be assessed by MIC distributions

- Conversely, resistance of Gram-positive is variable
 - High for MRSA (co-resistance frequent)
 - Low for S. pneumonia (especially for moxifloxacin; close to breakpoint for levofloxacin)



MIC distributions of S. pneumonia in Belgium for CAP (n=249)

Lismond et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2012 Mar;39(3):208-16.

Resistance must first be assessed by MIC distributions

- Conversely, resistance of Gram-positive is variable
 - High for MRSA (co-resistance frequent)
 - Low for S. pneumonia (especially for moxifloxacin; close to breakpoint for levofloxacin)



MIC distributions of S. pneumonia in Belgium for CAP (n=249)

Lismond et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2012 Mar;39(3):208-16.

A recent paper...

International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 45 (2015) 79-83



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijantimicag



Short Communication

Impact of poor compliance with levofloxacin and moxifloxacin on respiratory tract infection antimicrobial efficacy: A pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic simulation study

N. Carral^a, J.C. Lukas^{a,b}, I. Oteo^a, E. Suarez^{a,*}



A very recent paper...

International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 45 (2015) 79-83

Inte ELSEVIER journ	Contents lists available at Science rnational Journal of Antim al homepage: http://www.elsevier.co	eDirect nicrobial Agents om/locate/ijantimicag	ISC	
Short Communication Impact of poor compli respiratory tract infec A pharmacokinetic/ph N. Carral ^a , J.C. Lukas ^{a,b} , I. Ot	Table 1Interindividual variability of fAUC0-24hfor levofloxacin (LFX) and moxifloxacin (MOX),estimated for various drug dosing regimensin simulated patients.			
	Parameter	Mean (S.D.)	Range	
	AUC _{0-24h} (mg h/L) LFX 500 mg q24 h LFX 750 mg q24 h LFX 500 mg q12 h MOX 400 mg q24 h	45.78 (3.72) 68.68 (5.58) 91.57 (7.34) 43.63 (8.60)	37.21–57.13 55.82–85.69 77.66–115.48 26.43–72.20	

A very recent paper...



A very recent paper...



What differentiates fluoroquinolones ?



Is there a molecular basis for a lesser emergence of resistance with moxifloxacin ?

A C8-methoxy group lowers the MPC for an N-1-cyclopropyl-f luoroquinolone"



FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

The bactericidal action of moxifloxacin results from inhibition of the topoisomerase II (DNA gyrase) and topoisomerase IV required for bacterial DNA replication, transcription, repair, and recombination. It appears that the C8-methoxy moiety contributes to enhanced activity and lower selection of resistant mutants of Gram-positive bacteria compared to the C8-H moiety. The presence of the bulky bicycloamine substituent at the C-7 position prevents active efflux, associated with the *NorA* or *pmrA* genes seen in certain Gram-positive bacteria.

https://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/a/avelox/avelox_pi.pdf Last accessed: 8/2/2015