# Fluoroquinolone selection: appropriate benefit-risk profiles



Paul M. Tulkens, MD, PhD
Françoise Van Bambeke, PharmD, PhD \*

Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology Unit
& Centre for Clinical Pharmacy
Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

co-workers: Ann Lismond, MSc (resistance studies) – S. Carbonnelle, MD, PhD (clinical studies)









### **Contents of the Presentation**

- All antimicrobials have associated risks ...
  - Major non-serious and serious side-effects associated with the main antimicrobials used in the treatment of CAP (β-lactams, macrolides, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones).
  - A note about C. difficile.
- Adverse effects of moxifloxacin vs other agents
- Populations at risk (toxicity)
- Populations at risk of bacteriological failure
- Conclusions



Class	Drugs	Frequent or serious side effects
β-lactams	amoxicillin	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Digestive tract: diarrhoea, nausea</li> <li>CNS: agitation, anxiety, insomnia, confusion, convulsions, behavioural changes, and/or dizziness.</li> </ul>
	amoxicillin - clavulanic acid	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Hepatic toxicity, including hepatitis and cholestatic jaundice</li> <li>Digestive tract: diarrhoea, nausea</li> <li>CNS: agitation, anxiety, insomnia, confusion, convulsions, behavioural changes, and/or dizziness</li> </ul>
	cefuroxime	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions and cutaneous eruptions</li> <li>Nephrotoxicity (aggrav. with loop diuretics)</li> <li>Hepatic toxicity</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> </ul>
	ceftriaxone	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions and cutaneous eruptions</li> <li>Digestive tract:diarrhoea, nausea</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Hematologic disturbances (éosinophilia, leucopenia, granulopenia, thrombopenia)</li> <li>Hepatic and biliary toxicities (precipitation of Ca<sup>++</sup> salt)</li> <li>CNS: cephalalgia, vertigo</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup> based on an analysis of the respective labelling (SmPC or equivalent)

Class	Drugs	Frequent or serious side effects
Macrolides	clarithromycin	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Drug interactions (CYP450)</li> <li>Hepatic toxicity, including hepatitis and cholestatic jaundice</li> <li>Palpitations, arrhythmias including prolonged QTc</li> <li>Digestive tract: diarrhoea, nausea, vomiting, abnormal taste</li> <li>CNS: headache, confusion,</li> </ul>
	azithromycin	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Drug interactions (CYP450), less frequent than with other macrolides</li> <li>Hepatic toxicity, including hepatitis and cholestatic jaundice</li> <li>Digestive tract: diarrhoea, nausea, abdominal pain</li> <li>CNS: dizziness, fatigue, vertigo,</li> <li>Genitourinary: nephritis, vaginitis</li> </ul>
	telithromycin	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions and allergic skin reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>Visual disturbance</li> <li>Loss of consciousness</li> <li>Respiratory failure in patients with myastenia gravis</li> <li>QTc prolongation</li> <li>Drug interactions (CYP450)</li> <li>Digestive tract: diarrhoea, nausea, vomiting, dysgueusia</li> <li>CNS: headache, dizziness</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup> based on an analysis of the respective labelling (SmPC or equivalent)

Class	Drugs	Frequent or serious side effects
fluoroquinolones	levofloxacin	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions and allergic skin reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Hematologic toxicity</li> <li>Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>Central nervous system effects: headache, insomnia, dizziness, convulsions</li> <li>Musculoskeletal: tendinopathies</li> <li>Peripheral neuropathy</li> <li>Prolongation of the QTc interval and isolated cases of torsade de pointes</li> <li>Digestive tract: nausea, diarrhoea</li> </ul>
	moxifloxacin	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions and allergic skin reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Musculoskeletal: Tendinopathies</li> <li>Peripheral neuropathy</li> <li>Prolongation of the QT interval</li> <li>Central nervous system effects: headache, insomnia, dizziness, convulsions</li> <li>Digestive tract: nausea, diarrhoea</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup> based on an analysis of the respective labelling (SmPC or equivalent)

Class	Drugs	Frequent or serious side effects
tetracyclines	doxycycline	<ul> <li>Anaphylactic reactions and allergic skin reactions</li> <li>Clostridium difficile-associated colitis</li> <li>Digestive tract: anorexia, glossitis, dysphagia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea</li> <li>esophagitis and esophageal ulcerations</li> <li>Blood cells: hemolytic anaemia, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, eosinophilia</li> <li>Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>Photosensitivity</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup> based on an analysis of the respective labelling (SmPC or equivalent)



#### Conclusions (# 1):

- All antimicrobials used in RTI are associated with known toxicities
- The main point will be the recognition of patients at risk (exclusions)
- The next point will be a correct evaluation of the benefit / risk ratio in the specific environment and for the specific patient

## A note about C. difficile \*



in 1993-1994 ...

FREQUENT	Infrequent	RARE OR NO INDUCTION
Ampicillin and amoxicillin	Tetracyclines Sulfonamides	Parenteral aminoglycosides
Cephalosporins	Erythromycin	Bacitracin
Clindamycin	Chloramphenicol	Metronidazole
	Trimethoprim	Vancomycin
	Quinolones	

- Kelly CP, LaMont JT. Treatment of Clostridium difficile diarrhea and colitis. In: Wolfe MW, ed. Gastrointestinal pharmacotherapy. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 1993:199-212
- Kelly CP, Pothoulakis C, LaMont JT Clostridium difficile Colitis. N Engl J Med 1994;330:257-262

# C. difficile risk factors in hospitalized patients \*

from an 2001 study in Israel (date of sample collection) ...

#### Toxin-positive patients (90/585) were

- older (P < 0.0001),</li>
- from nursing homes (P < 0.05),</li>
- had higher leukocyte counts (P < 0.001), higher BUN (P < 0.01), lower serum albumin (P < 0.01)
- more often received diuretics (P < 0.01) and clindamycin (P < 0.05);</li>

#### Significant risk factors were

- antibiotic-associated diarrhoea (P < 0.001)</li>
- clindamycin treatment (P < 0.005);</li>
- patients also received macrolides (P < 0.05).</li>

Raveh D, Rabinowitz B, Breuer GS, Rudensky B, Yinnon AM. Risk factors for Clostridium difficile toxin-positive nosocomial diarrhoea. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2006 Sep;28(3):231-7.

<sup>\*</sup> data from in-patients from whom stool was sent to detect *C. difficile* toxin during the year 2001 (n=535)

# Are out-patients receiving anti-anaerobe fluoroquinolones more at risk?\*

#### from samples collected in 2002-2005 ...

TABLE 2. Fluoroquinolone use and hospitalization for C. difficile-associated disease

Antibiotic	No. (%) with antibiotic use within 30 days		Odds ratio (95% CI)		
Antibiotic	Cases $(n = 96)$	Controls $(n = 941)$	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>a</sup>	
Levofloxacin	28 (29)	266 (28)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	
Ciprofloxacin	44 (46)	286 (52)	0.85 (0.52–1.41)	1.00 (0.59–1.70)	
Gatifloxacin or moxifloxacin	24 (25)	189 (20)	1.23 (0.69–2.19)	1.37 (0.75–2.49)	
Gatifloxacin	8 (8.3)	57 (6.1)	1.33 (0.58–3.05)	1.46 (0.62–3.44)	
Moxifloxacin	16 (16.7)	132 (14.0)	1.18 (0.61–2.27)	1.32 (0.67–2.61)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Multivariate adjustment includes terms for number of medications dispensed in the previous year; diabetes; end-stage renal disease; treatment with immunosuppressive medications, oral corticosteroid therapy, antineoplastic medications, proton-pump inhibitor, and H<sub>2</sub>-receptor antagonists; residence in a nursing home; malignancy-related hospitalizations; and neighborhood socioeconomic status.

Dhalla IA, Mamdani MM, Simor AE, et al. Are broad-spectrum fluoroquinolones more likely to cause Clostridium difficile-associated disease? Antimicrob Agents Chemother (2006) 50:3216–9

<sup>\*</sup> Data based on a 3 year observation (2002-2005) of outpatients prescribed fluoroquinolones and admitted to hospital with a diagnosis of *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision [ICD-10-CA], code A04.7) within 30 days of the prescription-dispensing date

# C. difficile and fluoroquinolones ... \*



#### Main conclusion:

- The majority of studies found an association with of *C. difficile* associated diarrhoea and various antibiotics, .... but most limited in their ability to establish a causal relationship
- So far, association is mainly with clindamycin, cephalosporins, penicillins ...
- Yet, the participation of fluoroquinolone-resistant strains in hospital-acquired infections cannot be ignored (epidemic situation)
- Outside epidemic situations, antimicrobials and the risks for C. difficile associated diarrhoea remain very topical
- The role of infection control initiatives cannot be overstated.
- Thomas C, Stevenson M, Riley TV. Antibiotics and hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea: a systematic review. J Antimicrob Chemother (2003) 51:1339–50
- Blondeau JM. What have we learned about antimicrobial use and the risks for Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea? J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009 Feb;63(2):238-42

# Adverse effects of moxifloxacin vs other agents

- Overall
- Hepatic
- QTc and cardiac toxicity
- Tendonitis
- Phototoxicity

#### Side effects (non-serious) from clinical trials: moxi vs. comparators (oral)

		Moxifloxacin: n (%	)	comparators: n (%)		
event	< 65 y (n=4939)	65-74 y (n=842)	> 74 y (n=489)	< 65 y (n=4732)	65-74 y (n=479)	> 74 y (n=435)
Tx effect	2161 (43.8)	382 (45.4)	221 (45.2)	2056 (43.4)	351 (44.2)	194 (44.6)
Drug effect	1344 (27.2)	183 (21.7)	111 (22.7)	1154 (24.4)	169 (21.3)	93 (21.4)
Nausea	381 (7.7)	40 (4.8)	19 (3.9)	260 (5.5)	35 (4.4)	11 (2.5)
Diarrhea	274 (5.5)	39 (4.6)	29 (5.5)	236 (5.0)	28 (3.5)	21 (4.8)
Vomiting	89 (1.8)	5 (0.6)	6 (1.2)	80 (1.7)	8 (1.0)	3 (0.7)
Dyspepsia	72 (1.5)	8 (1.0)	1 (0.2)	59 (1.2)	8 (1.0)	3 (0.7)
Liver test	58 (1.2)	11 (1.3)	3 (0.6)	55 (1.2)	6 (0.8)	9 (1.2)
Flatulence	37 (0.7)	2 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	25 (0.5)	4 (0.5)	6 (1.4)
GGTP↑	8 (0.2)	0	0	11 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	5 (1.1)
Headache	91 (1.8)	12 (1.4)	4 (0.8)	101 (2.1)	12 (1.5)	4 (0.9)
Abdo. Pain	106 (2.1)	10 (1.2)	8 (1.6)	81 (1.7)	13 (1.6)	4 (0.9)
Asthenia	48 (1.0)	7 (0.8)	4 (0.8)	43 (0.9)	3 (0.4)	4 (0.9)
Dizziness	123 (2.5)	30 (3.6)	12 (2.5)	116 (2.5)	9 (1.1)	5 (1.1)
Insomnia	23 (0.5)	0	5 (1.0)	32 (0.7)	2 (0.3)	3 (0.7)
Rash	44 (0.9)	3 (0.4)	6 (1.2)	33 (0.7)	7 (0.9)	1 (0.2)
Taste perv.	45 (0.9)	7 (0.8)	5 (1.0)	67 (1.4)	18 (2.3)	9 (2.1)

Comparators: amoxi/clav, cefuroxime, cefexime, clarithro, azithro, trova, levo, sulfamethoxazole

Andriole et al. (2005) Drug Safety 28:443-53

#### Serious side effects from clinical trials: moxi vs comparators (oral)

	n	noxifloxacin: n (%	%)	comparators: n (%)		
event	< 65 y (n=4939)	65-74 y (n=842)	> 74 y (n=489)	< 65 y (n=4732)	65-74 y (n=479)	> 74 y (n=435)
Any system	24 (0.5)	5 (0.6)	5 (1.0)	26 (0.5)	5 (0.6)	4 (0.9)
Body as a whole	11 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	0	9 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	0
CV	3 (< 0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.2)	3 (< 0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.2)
Dig.	4 (< 0.1)	0	1 (0.2)	5 (0.1)	2 (0.3)	1 (0.2)
Endo	1 (< 0.1)	0	0	0	0	0
Haemic	2 (< 0.1)	1 (0.1)	0	1 (< 0.1)	0	0
Metabolic	0	0	0	2 (< 0.1)	1 (0.1)	0
Nervous	1 (< 0.1)	0	0	2 (< 0.1)	0	1 (0.2)
Respir.	4 (< 0.1)	2 (0.2)	3 (0.6)	5 (< 0.1)	1 (0.1)	0
Skin	3 (< 0.1)	0	0	1 (< 0.1)	1 (0.1)	0
Senses	1 (< 0.1)	0	0	0	0	0
Urogenital	1 (< 0.1)	1 (0.1)	0	3 (< 0.1)	0	1 (0.2)

Comparators: amoxi/clav, cefuroxime, cefexime, clarithro, azithro, trova, levo, sulfamethoxazole

Andriole et al. (2005) Drug Safety 28:443-53

# Hepatic toxicity of antibiotics

- Usually idiosyncratic (can be associated with other allergic reactions). <sup>1</sup>
- Clavulanic acid: genetic deficiency in glutathione S-transferases?<sup>2</sup>
   (longer latency period than other antibiotics...)
- Macrolides: related to reactive metabolites (nitrosoalkanes) that covalently bind to proteins, forming modified antigens (immunoallergic hepatitis)<sup>3</sup>
- Tetracyclines: related to inhibition of mitochondrial β-oxidation of fatty acids 4
- Fluoroquinolones: remains anecdotal and unpredictable,<sup>1</sup> except for for molecules with substituent-generating reactive intermediates
  - difluoroaniline (temafloxacin and trovafloxacin) <sup>5</sup>
  - cyclopropylamine (trovafloxacin; for which co-exposure to lipopolysaccharide may also be critical) <sup>6</sup>
- 1. Robles M, Andrade RJ. Rev Esp Quimioter. 2008 Dec;21(4):224-33
- 2. Lucena et al., Hepatology. 2008 Aug;48(2):588-96.
- 3. Pessayre et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 1985 Jul; 16 Suppl A: 181-94
- 4. Freneaux et al. Hepatology 1988 Sep; 8(5): 1056-62
- 5. Blum et al. Clin Infect Dis 1994 Jun; 18(6): 946-50; Chen et al. N Engl J Med 2000 Feb 3; 342(5): 359-60; Lucena et al. Clin Infect Dis 2000 Feb; 30(2): 400-1
- 6. Sun et al. Chem Res Toxicol 2008 Mar; 21(3): 711-9
- 7. Shaw et al. Toxicol Sci. 2009 Jan;107(1):270-80

# Crude incidence rates of acute liver injury caused by antibiotics \*

		Incidence	rate (CI)		
Antibiotic	population	per 100,000 users	per 100,000 prescriptions	endpoint	reference
fluoroquinolones (w/o moxifloxacin)	Outpatient clinic, Sweden (1995-2005)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)		International consensus	[1]
moxifloxacin	Outpatient clinic, Sweden (1995-2005)	0.08 (0.0-0.5)		International consensus	[1]
cotrimoxazole	Saskatchewan Health Plan, Canada (1982- 1986)	1.0 (0.2-5.7)	4.9 (0.9-27.6)	International consensus, hospitalisati on	[2]
erythromycin	Saskatchewan Health Plan, Canada (1982- 1986)	2.0 (0.7-5.9)	14.0 (4.8-41.2)	International consensus, hospitalisati on	[2]
amoxicillin- clavulanic acid	General practice research database, United Kingdom (1991-1992)	22.5 (14.7-34.4)	17.4 (11.4-26.5)	International consensus	[3]

<sup>\*</sup> see Van Bambeke & Tulkens, Drug Safety (in press) for full Table and details

<sup>1.</sup> De Valle et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006 Oct 15; 24(8): 1187-95

<sup>2.</sup> Perez et al. Epidemiology 1993 Nov; 4(6): 496-501

<sup>3.</sup> Garcia-Rodriguez et al. Arch Intern Med 1996 Jun 24; 156(12): 1327-32

# FDA reporting rate per 10,000,000 prescriptions (spontaneous reports)

Antibiotic class	Acute liver failure a
Moxifloxacin	6.6
Levofloxacin	2.1
Trovafloxacin	58
Telithromycin	23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Empiric Bayes Geometric Mean (EBGM) study www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/AC/06/slides/2006-4266s1-01-07-FDA-Brinker.ppt presented December 2006 to FDA Advisory Committee

Liver failure was defined as "acute or severe liver injury with encephalopathy, liver transplant following acute illness, death in the setting of acute liver injury (hospital. with transaminase elevation, or hyperbilirubinaemia, or clinical jaundice)"

# Moxifloxacin hepatotoxicity (in an nutshell)



- There is no evidence from currently available data that reactions are more frequent than with comparators
  - Clinical trials:
    - Apparent imbalance in drug-related "severe events" detected in the EU
      Periodic Safety Report was based on clinically non-severe, non-serious
      events (the number of serious, or clinically severe ADRs is too small for
      meaningful conclusions)
  - Spontaneous and registry data:
    - all data show a lower incidence for fluoroquinolones vs macrolides and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid
    - No signal in EBGM analysis conducted by FDA in 2006

## QTc interval: observations and clinical impact

#### Moxifloxacin is known to cause modest QTc prolongation

→ 6 – 7 msec in healthy volunteers, Phase II/III – po and Phase II/III – iv
But clinical impact of this is minor

Agent	Serious cardiac events * (no. per 10 millions patients treated or as indicated)
Moxifloxacin	4 a (in 13 millions)
Ciprofloxacin	8
Ofloxacin	18
Levofloxacin	18
Gatifloxacin	27 (in 3 millions)
Sparfloxacin	> 100
Grepafloxacin	> 150


<sup>\*</sup> Torsades de Pointes, ventricular tachycardia, or bradycardia

Ianini (2004) Drug Benefit Trends (suppl) 34-41 PSUR Bridging Report July 18, 2008

See also: Owens & Ambrose (2005) CID 41S2: S144-57 Falagas et al (2007) Int. J. Antimicrob. Ag. 29:374-9 Veyssier et al. (2006) Med. Mal. Infect. 36:505-12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> current observed rate is 5.8 per 10 millions

# CAPRIE Study (10872 - CAP study in elderly patients) Design to test for cardiac safety



- very elderly (mean age >75 years)
- 60% patients with PSI Risk Class III or higher
- no difference in efficacy between groups

Anzueto A et al, Clin Infect Dis 2006.

<sup>\*</sup> Low dose by EUCAST standards

# Caprie Study: analysis of all adverse events

Analysis of the safety data
 of the CAPRIE study\*
 failed to demonstrate
 significant occurrence of
 cardiac toxicity of
 moxifloxacin vs the
 comparator in elderly
 patients

Table 3. Overview of adverse events for hospitalized elderly patients eligible who received moxifloxacin or levofloxacin for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia.

	No. (%) o	f patients	
Variable	Moxifloxacin arm (n = 195)	Levofloxacin arm (n = 199)	P
Treatment-emergent adverse event	164 (84.1)	146 (73.4)	.01
Discontinued treatment due to adverse event	15 (7.7)	20 (10.1)	.5
Serious adverse event	46 (23.6)	45 (22.6)	.9
Death	15 (7.7)	11 (5.5)	.5
Any drug-related adverse event	51 (26.2)	45 (22.6)	.5
Drug-related adverse event reported by >1.5% of patients in either treatment group			
Diarrhea	11 (5.6)	10 (5.0)	1.0
Oral candidiasis	7 (3.6)	7 (3.5)	1.0
Nausea	3 (1.5)	4 (2.0)	1.0
Clostridium difficile infection/colitis	1 (0.5)	6 (3.0)	.1
Cardiac event	2 (1.0)	7 (3.5)	.2
Atrial fibrillation	0	3 (1.5)	
Ventricular tachycardia	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)	
Acute myocardial infarction	0	1 (0.5)	
Atrial flutter	0	1 (0.5)	
Congestive heart failure	0	1 (0.5)	
Cardiorespiratory arrest	0	1 (0.5)	
Supraventricular tachycardia	1 (0.5)	0	
Torsade de pointes	0	1 (0.5)	
Chest pain	0	1 (0.5)	
Increased heart rate	0	1 (0.5)	

<sup>\*</sup> Anzueto et al. Community-Acquired Pneumonia Recovery in the Elderly (CAPRIE): Efficacy and Safety of Moxifloxacin Therapy versus That of Levofloxacin Therapy Clin. Infect. Dis. 2006; 42:73–81

# Why a 6-10 msec QTc prolongation without clinical signs?

Literature search shows discordance between QTc data and actual cardiac toxicity of moxifloxacin may result from

its relatively large IC<sub>20</sub> towards the hERG\* channel (31-35 μM; ~12.6 mg/L free drug [corresponding to a serum total concentration of ~ 25 mg/L]), with significant risk of TdP demonstrated in animals at 100 μM (40 mg/L free drug)
 (Chen et al., Br J Pharmacol. 2005;146:792-9.)\*\*

Quoting: "the lack of TdP report by moxifloxacin in patients without other risk factors might be attributable to its well-behaved pharmacokinetic profile and other dose-limiting effects."


Infusion time (if > 30 min) is not of major concern.

- the fact that TdP is also related to at least one additional parameter (beat-to-beat alternations in monophasic action potential duration (MAPD) on which moxifloxacin has little effect (Wisialowski et al. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2006;318:352-9).
- absence of cytochrome P450 interactions
   (main cause for terfenadine or cisapride-induced TdP)
   (Roden DM. N Engl J Med 2004;350:1013-22.)

<sup>\*</sup> human Ether-a-go-go Related Gene (KCNH2) encoding the Kv11.1 potassium ion channel responsible for the repolarising IKr current in the cardiac action potential.

<sup>\*\*</sup> independently, Patmore et al. (Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2000; 406:449-452) showed rank order of potency sparfloxacin > grepafloxacin = moxifloxacin > ciprofloxacin.


#### Moxifloxacin IV Cardiac Safety (summary)



23

- IV moxifloxacin produces a predictable increase in QTc interval. The mean increase is similar and largely overlaps that of PO moxifloxacin
- Overall frequency of cardiac adverse events and drug-related cardiac adverse events similar for moxifloxacin- and comparator-treated patients, and <u>no increased risk</u> of cardiac morbidity or mortality in hospitalised patients with CAP or cSSSI treated with intravenous moxifloxacin has ever been documented
- The reason is most likely that the QTc prolongation induced by moxifloxacin is too small to translate into clinical effects, and that this parameter is not the only one to consider to assess the risk of TdP or other major cardiac toxicity.

Moxifloxacin is used as a positive control for QTc effect(s) in Phase I studies because it offers a positive signal without risk of clinically meaningful adverse effect!



#### **Tendonitis**

- well known effect of fluoroquinolones (included now in all US labelling)
- mechanism remains uncertain...
  - direct toxicity for collagen fibers and formation of reactive oxygen species ...
  - increased expression of matrix metalloproteinases ...
  - complexation of Mg²+ ions in joint and cartilages (class effect ?)...
- incidence: 0.14 to 0.4 % [1]
- Risk factors: age, corticoid use, renal failure, diabetes mellitus, gout,
   hyperparathyroidism, peripheral vascular disease, sportive activities, or rheumatic disease [2]
- more frequently mentioned in <u>spontaneous reporting systems</u> for levofloxacin than for ciprofloxacin or norfloxacin <sup>[3]</sup>
- isolated cases reported with moxifloxacin but no tendon rupture noted in MOSAIC study (COPD patients; 63.8 ± 9.7 y; concomitant use of corticosteroids [57 %]) [4]
  - 1. Mehlhorn & Brown. Ann Pharmacother 2007 Nov; 41(11): 1859-66
  - 2. van der Linden et al. Arch Intern Med 2003 Aug 11; 163(15): 1801-7; Khaliq & Zhanel. Clin Infect Dis 2003 Jun 1; 36(11): 1404-10
  - 3. Leone et al. Drug Saf 2003; 26(2): 109-20; Khaliq & Zhanel. Clin Infect Dis 2003 Jun 1; 36(11): 1404-10
  - 4. Wilson et al. Chest 2004 Mar; 125(3): 953-64

## **Phototoxicity**

#### Associated to certain fluoroquinolones only

- favoured by the F substituent in position 6
- markedly enhanced for molecules with additional halogen substituent (Cl or F) in position 8 (sparfloxacin, BAY y 3118, e.g.)
- lomefloxacin > fleroxacin > enoxacin >
   pefloxacin > ciprofloxacin > grepafloxacin >
   gemifloxacin > levofloxacin > norfloxacin >
   ofloxacin > moxifloxacin [1]
- incidences:
  - ciprofloxacin: < 1 %) [2]
  - moxifloxacin or gemifloxacin: < 0.1 % in the absence of excessive exposure to light <sup>[3]</sup>.
  - 1. Owens & Ambrose PG. Clin Infect Dis 2005 Jul 15; 41 Suppl 2: S144-S157
  - 2. US Cipro® Package insert (http://www.univgraph.com/bayer/inserts/ciprotab.pdf)
  - 3. US Avelox® Package insert (http://www.univgraph.com/bayer/inserts/avelox.pdf) US Factive® Package insert (http://www.factive.com/pdf/prescribing\_info.pdf)

#### sparfloxacin

Bay y 3118

in moxifloxacin, the CI is replaced by a methoxy

# Populations at risk \*

Class	Drugs	Populations at higher risk of side effects
β-lactams	amoxicillin	Allergic patients
	amoxicillin/	• Allergic patients
	clavulanic acid	<ul> <li>Erythematous skin rash: patients with mononucleosis</li> </ul>
		Hepatic toxicity: patients with hepatic dysfunction
		Nephrotoxicity: elderly patients
macrolides	clarithromycin	Cardiac effects: patients taking other drugs with effects on QTc or class 1A or III antiarrythmics
		Pregnancy
		<ul> <li>Patients with severe renal impairment with or without coexisting hepatic impairment</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Patients taking drugs metabolized by CYP450</li> </ul>
	azithromycin	Hepatotoxicity: patients with liver failure
	telithromycin	Cardiac effects: elderly patients taking other drugs with effects on QTc or class 1A or III antiarrythmics, or with known QT prolongation or hypokaliemia
		Myopathies : co-administration of statins
		Patients with severe renal impairment
		Pregnancy
		Children (no studies so far)


<sup>\*</sup> as defined by the corresponding labelling

# Populations at risk \*

Class	Drugs	Populations at higher risk of side effects
fluoroquinolones	levofloxacin	<ul> <li>Tendon disorders: elderly, patients taking corticoids, or with kidney, heart or lung transplants</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Cardiac effects: elderly patients taking other drugs with effects on QTc or class 1A or III antiarrythmics, or with known QT prolongation or hypokaliemia</li> </ul>
		CNS effects: patients at risk of epilepsy
		Dysglycemia: diabetic patients
		Pregnancy, lactation, infants
	moxifloxacin	<ul> <li>Tendon disorders: elderly, patients taking corticoids, or with kidney, heart or lung transplants</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Cardiac effects: elderly patients taking other drugs with effects on QTc or class 1A or III antiarrythmics, or with known QT prolongation or hypokaliemia</li> </ul>
		CNS effects: patients at risk of epilepsy
		Pregnancy, lactation, infants
tetracyclines	doxycycline	• Pregnancy, lactation, infants


<sup>\*</sup> as defined by the corresponding labelling

# But what is "risk"?






therapeutic failure ?




# Populations at risk of bacteriological failure \*

\*analysis of resistance of 1st line antibiotics (penicillins) for CAP as reported by the surveillance systems or publications (S. pneumoniae)

- EARSS: European Antimicrobial Surveillance system
- TRUST: Tracking Resistance in the United States Today
- GLOBAL: Global Landscape On the Bactericidal Activity of Levofloxacin
- ECCMID: abstracts of the 18th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases





29


# **Populations at** risk of bacteriological failure \*

\*analysis of resistance of often recommended 1st line antibiotics for CAP (macrolides, doxycycline) as reported by surveillance systems or publications (S. pneumoniae)

- EARSS: European Antimicrobial Surveillance system
- PROTEKT: Prospective Resistant Organism Tracking and Epidemiology for the Ketolide Telithromycin
- TRUST: Tracking Resistance in the United States Today
- GLOBAL: Global Landscape On the Bactericidal Activity of Levofloxacin
- Riedel: Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2007 Jul;26(7):485-90.
- ECCMID: abstracts of the 18th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases

СН DE **EARSS** SE TR NL UK ES PROTEKT -SE JP CN TRUST -US GLOBAL LAm ZA **USEUR** Asia Riedel · **EUR ES** DE **ECCMID-**DE BE BE AT 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 10 20 100 % of isolates

**ERY-R** 




# Typical susceptibility patterns of fluoroquinolones \*

\*analysis of resistance as observed in validated cases of CAP in Belgium (S. pneumoniae)

Similar data obtained by

- TRUST: Tracking Resistance in the United States Today
- GLOBAL: Global Landscape On the Bactericidal Activity of Levofloxacin
- ECCMID: abstracts of the 18th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases




# Conclusions (1 of 2)

- The overall safety profile of fluoroquinolones (and moxifloxacin in particular) is similar or better than comparators from clinical trials and spontaneous report systems. More specifically, and with regard to recent questions:
  - Hepatic events reactions are well within range of other commonly used antibacterials, or lower than amoxicillin/clavulanic acid or macrolides
  - QTc prolongation is well characterized but cardiac events/TdP are not different from other fluoroquinolones and lower than those of macrolides
  - Specific toxicities (tendonitis, e.g.) are well known and can be taken care of
  - skin events are very rare and, in any case, much less frequent than with β-lactams

# Conclusions (2 of 2)

- Fluoroquinolones (and moxifloxacin in particular, for PK/PD reasons) are a useful alternative in those countries (or specific situations) where resistance to so-called "1st line antibiotics" (for CAP or COPD) is becoming worrying, or where or when a fast-acting agent may be advantageous;
- The safety profiles of higher doses of β-lactams or of levofloxacin (needed to meet the resistance levels patterns of *S. pneumoniae*) are not well characterised, and potentially worse than established profiles from low doses studies.





### **Disclosures**

#### Financial support from

- the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (and other federal and regional funding agencies) for basic research on pharmacology and toxicology of antibiotics and related topics
- the Public Federal Service "Public Health" for "Appropriate antibiotic use" studies in General Practice
- Pharmaceutical Industry for specific drug-related studies

#### Note:

- all work, irrespective the source of funding, is published in peer-reviewed journals and is available from our web site \*
- P.M. Tulkens is member of the Committee organising public campaigns for appropriate use of antibiotics in Belgium since 2000 \*\*

<sup>\*</sup> http://www.facm.ucl.ac.be/publicat\_facm.htm

<sup>\*\*</sup> http://www.antibiotiques.org/