# Antibiotic Resistance, Biofilm Formation, and Intracellular Survival As Possible Determinants of Persistent or Recurrent Infections by *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Vietnamese Tertiary Hospital: Focus on Bacterial Response to Moxifloxacin

Tiep Khac Nguyen,<sup>1</sup> Maria A. Argudín,<sup>2</sup> Ariane Deplano,<sup>2</sup> Pham Hong Nhung,<sup>3</sup> Hoang Anh Nguyen,<sup>4</sup> Paul M. Tulkens,<sup>1</sup> Magali Dodemont,<sup>2</sup> and Françoise Van Bambeke<sup>1</sup>

Resistance is notoriously high in Asia but may not entirely explain therapeutic failures. Specific modes of bacterial life, such as biofilm or intracellular survival, may also contribute to the persistent and/or recurrent character of infections. Most *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates form biofilm and many survive and even thrive intracellularly. We collected 36 nonduplicate S. aureus isolates (including 18 methicillin-resistant S. aureus) from patients with clinical evidence of persistent or recurrent infections in a large tertiary Vietnamese hospital. We examined their antibiotic resistance profile (minimal inhibitory concentration determination) and clonal relatedness (spa and agr typing, pulsed field gel electrophoresis profiles). We then assessed the activity of moxifloxacin in both biofilms and infected phagocytes (moxifloxacin previously proved to be one of the most active antibiotics against reference strains in these models). spa-types t189 and t437 and agr group I were the most frequent. Among the 36 isolates, 30 were multidrug resistant but 30 were recovered from patients having received an active drug. All tested isolates produced biofilm and survived inside phagocytes. At its human  $C_{\text{max}}$ , moxifloxacin was inactive on biofilms made by moxifloxacin-susceptible as well as moxifloxacin-resistant isolates. It caused only a modest intracellular colony-forming unit decrease against moxifloxacin-susceptible isolates and was inactive against those resistant to moxifloxacin. While our data confirm for this collection the high resistance levels and prevalence of endemic spa- or agr- types in Asia, they show that tolerance in both biofilm and phagocytes are correlated and markedly limit moxifloxacin activity, which goes in line with the suggested role of these modes of life in persistence or recurrence of infections.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus, resistance, biofilm, intracellular infection

## Introduction

**B**ACTERIAL RESISTANCE IS notoriously high in Asia, related to wide and largely inappropriate use of antibiotics,<sup>1</sup> as recently shown in Vietnam.<sup>2</sup> Resistance, which creates difficulties for health care professionals for selecting appropriate treatments, is therefore thought to be the main contributor to the persistent and/or recurrent character of many infections. Other mechanisms, however, may also explain this type of clinical and/or microbiological failure, such as biofilm formation and/or occurrence of intracellular niches, two situations where antibiotic activity is markedly hampered toward isolates categorized as susceptible by conventional testing.<sup>3</sup>

In this study, we focused on *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates collected in one of the largest tertiary hospitals in Vietnam, with patients originating from all the Northern part of the country. We specifically selected isolates obtained from patients with clinical evidence of either persistent infections (*i.e.*, infections that did not resolve after 5 days of treatment by antibiotics to which the initial isolates were reported as susceptible) or recurrent infections (*i.e.*, infections that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pharmacologie cellulaire et moléculaire, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Université catholique de louvain (UCLouvain), Brussels, Belgium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Centre National de Référence des Staphylocoques, Laboratoire Hospitalier Universitaire de Bruxelles (LHUB-ULB) Site Anderlecht, Hôpital Erasme-Cliniques Universitaires de Bruxelles, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The National Center for Drug Information and Adverse Drug Reactions Monitoring, Hanoi University of Pharmacy, Hanoi, Vietnam.

reactivated a few days after improvement of the patient's conditions and subsequent discontinuation of the initial antibiotic). Our aims were (i) to determine the capacity of these isolates to form biofilm in vitro and to survive in human monocytes and (ii) to measure their response to an active antibiotic in these two types of models compared with a reference strain. To this effect, we selected the antibiotic moxifloxacin, for its previously demonstrated high activity in these models.<sup>4,5</sup>

#### Materials and Methods

#### Bacterial strains and characterization

Nonduplicate S. aureus (n=36) isolates were prospectively collected between July and December 2015 from patients hospitalized at the Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam, from the two wards in which the majority of staphylococcal infections diagnosed in this hospital are encountered (Table 1). These patients showed clinically and microbiologically documented persistent and/or recurrent infections (Table 1) (Ethics Committee approval from the Hanoi University of Pharmacy: 1102/QD-DHN). They were isolated on Mannitol Salt Phenol Red agar and identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Isolates were routinely grown on Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates. Their growth rate was determined by following the increase in optical density at 620 nm (OD<sub>620nm</sub>) over incubation time in cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton Broth (CA-MHB), using a starting inoculum at a  $OD_{620nm} = 0.005.$ 

Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were measured by microdilution in CA-MHB following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute recommendations, with susceptibility assessed according to European Committee on

TABLE 1. DESCRIPTION AND	TYPING OF	CLINICAL	ISOLATES
--------------------------	-----------	----------	----------

Strain number	<b>Origin</b> <sup>a</sup>	Unit	mecA <sup>b</sup>	agr <sup>c</sup>	spa-type <sup>d</sup>	Lineage ST <sup>e</sup>	Lineage CC <sup>e</sup>	PFGE	$Profile^{f}$
69613	Pus (Abscess)	Urology	_	Ι	t034	ST398	CC398	nt	1a
30461	Catheter	Urology	-	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
19255	Blood	Urology	-	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
30462	Catheter	Urology	-	Ι	t2883	ST188	CC1	WX	3a
20975	Catheter	Urology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
69781	Pus	Urology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
30549	Catheter	Urology	-	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
30566	Catheter	Urology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
17883	Blood	Urology	-	Ι	t18690			XL	4
34427	Pus (tophi)	Rheumatology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
34467	Articulation	Rheumatology	-	Ι	t034	ST398	CC398	nt	1a
13890	Blood	Rheumatology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
14305	Blood	Rheumatology	-	IV	t159	ST121	CC121	Ν	5
69783	Articulation	Rheumatology	-	Ι	t304	ST6, ST8	CC8	XM	6
35994	Articulation	Rheumatology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
36606	Abscess	Rheumatology	-	IV	t159	ST121	CC121	Ν	5
30342	Catheter	Urology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
34791	Pus (tophi)	Rheumatology	-	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
30337	Catheter	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69474	Catheter	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69493	Pus	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69486	Pus	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69544	Pus (catheter)	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69687	Pus (catheter)	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69700	Pus (catheter)	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
22714	Blood	Urology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XK	2b
25619	Blood	Urology	+	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
30609	Catheter	Urology	+	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
69505	Pus (tophi)	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XK	2b
34613	Abscess	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
34724	Abscess	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69519	Pus (Abscess)	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
36271	Pus	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t437	ST59, ST338	CC59	XJ	2a
69867	Pus (tophi)	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t1250	ST398	CC398	nt	1b
36253	Abscess	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b
69915	Pus tophi	Rheumatology	+	Ι	t189	ST188	CC1	WX	3b

<sup>a</sup>As reported from medical files.

<sup>b</sup>Determined by PCR; all MSSA strains were *mecA* and *mecC* negative.

<sup>c</sup>Accessory gene regulator (*agr*) operon variable region. <sup>d</sup>*Staphylococcus* protein A gene typing.

<sup>e</sup>Based on Ridom *spa* server database and literature.

<sup>f</sup>To facilitate the comparison between isolates, those with an identical profile were annotated with the same number (a and b correspond to similar but not identical profiles).

PFGE, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis; nt, nontypable; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) interpretive criteria (version 9.0; 2019). Their methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) character was determined by PCR of *mecA* and *mecC* genes.<sup>6</sup> Molecular typing included determination of the accessory gene regulator (*agr*) group par multiplex PCR, sequencing of the *Staphylococcus* protein A (*spa*) gene and Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) and was performed exactly as previously published.<sup>7,8</sup> Multiple isolates from single patients were considered only if showing different *spa*-type/PFGE profile and/or antibiotic susceptibilities.

# Biofilm formation, colony-forming units counting, and evaluation of moxifloxacin activity

Biofilms were grown in 96-well plates, using an initial inoculum at an  $OD_{620nm}$  of 0.005 in Tryptic Soy Broth supplemented with 1% glucose and 2% NaCl (TGN<sup>5</sup>) where T stands for Tryptic, G for glucose, and N for NaCl. After 24 hr incubation at 37°C, biofilms were gently washed twice with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), collected in sterile water, and sonicated 5 min using a sonication bath to insure the liberation of bacteria from the matrix. After appropriate dilution in PBS, aliquots were spread on TSA and colony-forming units (CFUs) were counted after overnight incubation at 37°C. To measure moxifloxacin activity, 24-hr biofilms were reincubated during 24 hr in the presence of moxifloxacin or in control medium and then treated as described above for CFUs enumeration.

# Intracellular infection and evaluation of antibiotic activity

Infection of THP-1 human monocytes was performed following a previously established protocol.<sup>4</sup> In brief, bacteria opsonized with human serum were incubated at 37°C for 1 hr at an inoculum of four bacteria per cell to allow phagocytosis. After removal of the medium, infected cells were incubated at 37°C for 45 min with gentamicin at  $100 \times MIC$  to eliminate extracellular bacteria, and thereafter washed twice with PBS at room temperature to eliminate gentamicin (this necessary step limited the study to isolates with gentamic n MIC  $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ ). They were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hr with moxifloxacin, washed with PBS, and collected in sterile water. CFUs were enumerated on TSA plates as described above, and proteins assayed by the Folin-Ciocalteu method. Results were expressed as the change in the number of CFUs per mg of cell protein from the post-phagocytosis inoculum.

### Results

### Characterization of the isolates

No major difference in the growth rate could be evidenced between the 36 isolates collected (Supplementary Fig. S1). Out of them, 18 were MRSA (*mecA* positive), whereas 2 were borderline oxacillin-resistant *S. aureus*/ modified *S. aureus* (methicillin resistance but lack of *mecA* and *mecC* genes). The accessory gene regulator (*agr*) group I was largely predominant in this collection, with only two isolates showing a group IV profile. Most of the isolates belonged to *spa*-types t189 (*n*=13; 5 MRSA) and t437 (*n*=15; 12 MRSA), associated to clones ST188 and CC59, respectively. The remaining isolates (n=8) were spread over six other *spa*-types. Most of the isolates with a same *spa*type also shared the same PFGE profile as well as the same *agr* group (Table 1).

The MICs of 26 antibiotics were determined against these isolates (see Table 2 for all MIC distributions and Table 3 for MIC values of representative antibiotics). No isolate was resistant to vancomycin, linezolid, or fusidic acid. Resistance rates to other antibiotic classes were 8% (tetracy-clines), 11% (rifampicin; among rifampicin-resistant isolates, there was only one MRSA), 39% (fluoroquinolones), 44% (aminoglycosides), 72% (chloramphenicol), and 81% (macrolides and lincosamides).

According to the definition from Magiorakos et al.9 and using EUCAST R (resistant) breakpoints, 30/36 (83%) isolates could be categorized as multidrug resistant. Among them, 12 belong to the spa-type t189 and 13 to the spa-type t437, which corresponds to 92% of t189 and 87% of t437 isolates, respectively. Among  $\beta$ -lactam-resistant isolates, 4 were coresistant to 2 other antibiotic classes, 12 to 3 other classes, 2 to 4 other classes, 4 to 5 other classes, 7 to 6 other classes, and 3 to 7 other classes. Coresistance to macrolides, lincosamides, fluoroquinolones, gentamicin, and chloramphenicol was observed in 8 strains, among which were 5 methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA). Isolates resistant to  $\geq$ 5 classes belonged mainly to *spa*-type t189 (11/13) and less frequently to spa-type t437 (2/15). Of interest, all t189 isolates were moxifloxacin resistant, whereas all t437 isolates were moxifloxacin susceptible. Likewise, 12/13 t189 were gentamicin resistant, whereas 14/15 t437 were gentamicin susceptible.

Quite importantly, and in spite of these high levels of resistance, most of these isolates (30/36) had actually been recovered from patients who received at least one active antibiotic (MIC less than or equal than the EUCAST "R" breakpoint) during their initial treatment (Table 3). This strongly suggested that resistance was not the only culprit for microbiological failure. We therefore tested the capacity of all isolates to form biofilms as well as to survive intracellularly. To compare the impact of these two modes of life on antibiotic activity against these clinical isolates, we selected moxifloxacin as a study drug. This fluoroquinolone, indeed, proved to be one of the most active antibiotics in these two models, based on previous work from our laboratory,<sup>4,5</sup> a necessary condition to allow for accurate measurement of a potential decrease of activity against clinical isolates compared with reference strains.

### Biofilm formation and intracellular survival

These features were evaluated against part of the collection, including both moxifloxacin-susceptible and -resistant isolates. When looking at intracellular survival, only isolates with gentamicin MIC  $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$  could be considered. Biofilms were quantified here by recovering bacteria after sonication and counting the number of CFUs, which was more appropriate than looking at biofilm biomass to compare bacterial proliferation and antibiotic activity in biofilm versus those measured intracellularly. All tested isolates were much stronger biofilm producers than the reference strain ATCC25923, with a mean value of 7.5 versus 5.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU recovered from biofilms after 24 hr of growth.

Antibiotic	MIC <sub>50</sub> (mg/L)	MIC <sub>90</sub> (mg/L)	EUCAST breakpoints (mg/L) S≤/R>	Nb (%) resistant strains
Penicillin G (PEN)	16	>16	0.125/0.125	33 (92%) <sup>a</sup>
Amoxicillin (AMX)	16	>32	na	na
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC)	2	16	na	na
Oxacillin (OXA)	0.5	8	na	na
Cefoxitine (FOX)	8	32	na	50% MRSA <sup>b</sup>
Cefuroxime (CXM)	2	8	na	na
Cefoperazone/sulbactam (CFP/SUL)	4	8	na	na
Ceftriaxone (CRO)	4	16	na	na
Imipenem (IMI)	0.032	0.064	na	na
Meropenem (MEM)	0.25	2	na	na
Vancomycin (VAN)	1	1	2/2	0
Linezolid (LZD)	2	2	4/4	0
Tedizolid (TDZ)	0.25	0.25	0.5/0.5	0
Fusidic acid (FUS)	0.064	0.125	1/1	0
Erythromycin (ERY)	>256	>256	1/2	29 (81)
Azithromycin (AZM)	>256	>256	1/2	29 (81)
Solithromycin (SOL)	0.5	>256	na	
Clindamycin (CLI)	>256	>256	0.25/0.5	29 (81)
Chloramphenicol (CHL)	0.5	32	8/8	26 (72)
Doxycycline (DOX)	2	4	1/2	9 (25)
Gentamicin (GEN)	0.5	32	1/1	
Moxifloxacin (MXF)	0.064	2	0.25/0.25	14 (39)
Levofloxacin (LVX)	0.25	8	1/1	14 (39)
Ciprofloxacin (CIP)	0.5	32	1/1	14 (39)
Rifampicin (RIF)	0.008	>0.5	0.06/0.5	4 (11)
Cotrimoxazole (STX)	0.125	>16	2/4	10 (28)

TABLE 2. MINIMAL INHIBITORY CONCENTRATION DISTRIBUTION AND PERCENTAGE OF RESISTANCE IN CLINICAL ISOLATES

<sup>a</sup>Susceptible strains are not  $\beta$ -lactamase producers.

<sup>b</sup>Strains with MICs >4 mg/L are MRSA due to expression of *mecA* (see Table 1).

MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; na, not applicable; MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.

Conversely, they could not be distinguished from the reference strain with respect to phagocytosis and intracellular growth in THP-1 monocytes (Fig. 1, upper panels). No difference could be evidenced among *spa*-types regarding these properties (Supplementary Fig. S2).

# Activity of moxifloxacin against biofilms and intracellular bacteria

We then examined the activity of moxifloxacin at a concentration corresponding to its average human  $C_{\text{max}}$ (4 mg/L; total concentration) and after 24 hr of incubation in these two models (Fig. 1, lower panels). Isolates were divided in two groups according to the moxifloxacin MIC (namely [i] 0.03–0.06 mg/L and [ii] 1–2 mg/L), corresponding to isolates categorized as susceptible or resistant (EUCAST interpretive criteria). In biofilms, moxifloxacin caused an  $\sim 1.5 \log_{10}$  CFU decrease against the reference strain ATCC25923 but only a mean decrease of about 0.3 log<sub>10</sub> CFU for the moxifloxacin-susceptible isolates, and no decrease for those resistant to moxifloxacin. Intracellularly, moxifloxacin caused a reduction of the intracellular inoculum of about 2 log<sub>10</sub> CFU (from the initial postphagocytosis inoculum) for ATCC25923, but variable reductions (0.5-2.5  $\log_{10}$  CFU decreases) for the moxifloxacin-susceptible isolates, and no reduction of CFU (bacteriostatic effect only) for the moxifloxacin-resistant isolates. Figure 1F shows that there was a significant correlation between the changes in CFU induced by moxifloxacin in these two models.

#### Discussion

In this collection of isolates obtained from patients with persisting or recurrent infections in Vietnam, we noted high resistance levels (as anticipated and consistent with other studies<sup>2,10,11</sup>), but these were unlikely to be responsible for the microbiological failures we described since patients were initially treated with antibiotics to which the isolates were considered susceptible. Rather, we show here that biofilm formation and, to a lesser extent, intracellular hiding allow for protection against an active antibiotic and could be a reason for persistence and/or recurrence.

Although our study was not designed or powered as an epidemiological survey, this collection is probably representative of the current epidemiology in this part of the world, as it shows *spa*-types that are widely distributed in Asia,<sup>12</sup> and more specifically in China,<sup>13</sup> with *spa*-type t189 being more common among MSSA and *spa*-type t437, among MRSA, as previously described.<sup>14</sup> ST59-t437-*agr* group I, which was recently reported as the most frequent genotype in MRSA isolated from hospitalized children in China,<sup>15</sup> was also predominant in this study. More globally, *agr* group I is also highly prevalent in MSSA in China,<sup>16</sup> which is also what we observed here. We also found similar proportions of MRSA and of resistance as in other surveys.

Specifically, we noticed worrying resistance levels not only to  $\beta$ -lactams but also to macrolides and lincosamides, chloramphenicol, and to a lower extent, aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones. Data for Vietnam remain limited.

<u>~</u>
E
s
3
-
ä
ō
S
ě.
5
Ľ,
<u>.</u>
<u> </u>
<u>–</u>
6
÷
Ħ
3
Ξ
8
2
ದ
£.
ě.
5
÷Ē
×
5
3
2
Ξ
0
£
2
a
H
÷=
1
È.
Si.
H
š
- E
5
-
50
E
÷
ē
문
ō
G
Σ
e.
Ч
<u>e</u>
ğ
Ó
Ы
3
Ó
Ω

	Table 3. Minimal In	HIBITORY CONCENTRATI WITH INDICATION OF	ons for the An	REPRESI	entativ s Recei	te Anti Ived by	BIOTICS THE CO	OF REFI	ERENCE	STRAINS ATIENT	and Cl	INICAL ]	SOLATES		
Strain number	Antibiotics received by patients <sup>a</sup>	Phenotype of resistance to β-lactams	OXA	FOX	VAN	ΓZD	FUS	AZM	СШ	CHL	XOQ	GEN	MXF	RIF	SXT 1/19
ATCC25923		MSSA	0.125	4		0,	0.125	0.5	0.064	4	0.25	0.25	0.032	0.008	0.125
ATCC33591	Mono	MRSA	>16 0.75	>32 ^		c	0.125	>256	>256	32 92	>16	0.5 2	0.064	0.004	1
C1060		ACCIVI	0.40	t (		40	0.004	0077	0074	0 3		20	0.004	0.000	0.004
50401 10755		MSSA	C7.0	1 -		<i>۱</i> ر	0.064	920~	920~	52	1 6	C7.0	0.064	0.000	271.0
07700	ULT/JUL		2010	t -	- c	1-	0.004	007/	007/	53	10		†00.0	0.000	20.0
30462 20075	None	MSSA	0.125	4 -	7-	4 (	0.120	9007<	2010	5,5	<b>x</b>	10 0 2	210	0.010	CZ:0
C/ 607	CFP/SUL	MSSA A	C71.0	4 -		7	0.004		C71.0	9 8	C7.0	C.)	7	0.000	0.004
09/81	CFP/SUL	MSSA	0.20	4 •	- +		0.004	007<	007<	22	C7.0	32	7 000	<b>c.</b> 0<	> <b>10</b>
30249	CFP/SUL	MSSA	0.125	4.	_, ,	210	C7.0	7	0.125	5 5	4	C7.0	0.032	0.008	0.064
30566	CFP/SUL	MSSA	0.5	4 (	, ,	2	0.064	>256	256 256	32	0.5	32	500	>0.5 2.05	>16
1/883		MSSA	0.125	7.	_, ,	210	0.125	0.0	0.125	<b>10</b>	0.125	0.0	0.032	0.008	0.125
34427	CRO, CLI, CIP, VAN	MSSA	0.25	4	_ ·	21	0.064	>256	>256	∞;	4	32	, 7	0.008	5
34467	CFP, CLI, MXF, CRO, MEM. VAN	MSSA	0.5	4	-	7	0.064	2256	>256	16	0.5	0.5	0.064	0.008	0.064
13890	VAN	MSSA	0.125	4	6	2	0.125	>256	4	64	0.25	×	-	0.008	0.125
14305	I.VX. VAN	MSSA	0.125	. 4	ı —		0.125	-	0.125	7	0.25	0.5	0.032	>0.5	0.064
69783	MEM, MXF, VAN,	MSSA	0.125	4		101	0.125		0.125	- 2	0.5	<b>3</b>	0.032	0.004	0.125
	CRO, LVX														
35994	VAN, IMI	MSSA	0.25	4	1	0	0.125	>256	>256	16	0.25	32	6	0.008	>16
36606	CLI, CFP	MSSA	0.25	4	1	0	0.125	1	0.125	8	0.25	0.5	0.032	0.008	0.125
30342	CRO	BORSA or MODSA <sup>a</sup>	0	×	1	0	0.125	>256	>256	16	0.5	32	6	>0.5	>16
34791	CLI, CIP, IMI	<b>BORSA or MODSA</b>	0.25	×	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	6	0.25	32	7	0.008	16
30337	CFP, MXF	MRSA	-	16	1	0	0.125	>256	>256	64	0.25	0.5	0.064	0.016	0.064
69474	CLI, MXF	MRSA	-	8	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	6	7	0.25	0.064	0.008	0.064
69493	MXF, VAN	MRSA	0	×	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	6	16	0.25	0.064	0.016	0.125
69486	CLI, MER	MRSA	-	×	-	0	0.25	2	0.125	×	6	0.25	0.064	0.008	0.125
69544	CFP, MXF	MRSA	4	>32	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	8	6	0.25	0.032	0.008	0.25
69687	<b>CFP/SUL</b>	MRSA	8	32	-	4	0.125	>256	>256	2	2	0.25	0.25	0.008	0.125
69700	MXF	MRSA	0	16	1	0	0.125	>256	>256	2	6	-	0.064	0.016	0.064
22714	LVX, VAN	MRSA	8	32	-	0	0.064	>256	>256	2	4	>32	0.064	0.008	0.064
25619	IMI	MRSA	8	32	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	8	4	32	6	0.004	>16
30609	IMI	MRSA	16	>32	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	8	×	32	7	0.004	>16
69505	CFP. CIP	MRSA	4	16	-	0	0.25	>256	>256	6	7	0.5	0.032	0.008	4
34613	CIP. CFP. MXF. VAN	MRSA	0.5	×		0	0.064	>256	>256	×64	0	0.5	0.032	0.008	0.064
34724	CIP, CFP, MXF, VAN	MRSA		16	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	6	0.5	0.25	0.064	0.008	0.064
69519	VAN. MÉM. IMI	MRSA	4	>32	0	0	0.125	>256	>256	8	0.25	32	1	0.008	>16
36271	CLI. MXF	MRSA	-	×		4	0.125	>256	>256	128	2	0.25	0.032	0.004	0.064
69867	VAŃ, MXF	MRSA	4	16	1	1	0.064	256	>256	32	4	0.5	0.064	0.125	0.125
36253	CFP, CIP, VAN	MRSA	4	16	1	0	0.064	>256	>256	16	0.25	32	7	0.008	>16
69915	CFP, CLI	MRSA	4	16	1	7	0.064	>256	>256	8	4	32	1	0.008	>16

See Table 2 for antibiotic acronym definitions. Values in bold are higher than EUCAST R breakpoints (see Table 2). <sup>a</sup>As reported in medical files. BORSA, borderline oxacillin-resistant *S. aureus*; MODSA, or modified *S. aureus*; EUCAST, European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.



**FIG. 1.** Upper panel: biofilm formation and intracellular infection of THP-1 monocytes by the reference strain ATCC 25923 and selected clinical isolates. (**A**) CFU counts in 24-hr biofilms from ATCC25923 and 19 randomly selected clinical isolates. (**B**) Postphagocytosis inoculum in THP-1 cells exposed during 1 hr to serum opsonized *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923 and eight isolates), after elimination of extracellular bacteria using  $100 \times \text{MIC}$  gentamicin (note that the number of isolates was limited to those with low [≤8 mg/L] gentamicin MICs). (**C**) Intracellular growth of the same isolates after 24 hr of incubation in the presence of  $1 \times \text{MIC}$  gentamicin (to avoid extracellular contamination). Lower panel: Activity of moxifloxacin against biofilms or intracellular bacteria. (**D**) Reduction in CFU after 24 hr of incubation of 24 hr biofilms with moxifloxacin at its human  $C_{\text{max}}$  (4 mg/L). (**E**) Reduction in CFU from the initial postphagocytosis after 24 hr of incubation of infected THP-1 cells with moxifloxacin at its human  $C_{\text{max}}$  (4 mg/L). In both panels, susceptible (gray dots) and resistant (black dots) isolates were analyzed apart from one another. (**F**) Correlation between the activity of moxifloxacin against biofilm and intracellular bacteria. Statistical analysis of variance (**D**–**E**) or *t*-test (**A**–**C**) with Welsh's correction. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01. ns, not significant; CFU, colony-forming unit; MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration.

Thirty-seven percent of staphylococci isolated from bloodstream infections in a tertiary infectious diseases hospital in North Vietnam have been reported as MRSA.<sup>10</sup> A similar proportion (40%) was found among isolates causing infective endocarditis in tertiary care hospitals of South Vietnam.<sup>11</sup> A recently published large-scale study on 2,039 isolates collected during the 2012–2013 period in 16 hospitals across the whole country concluded that 69% of them were MRSA, with 75% being resistant to macrolides, 36–39% to fluoroquinolones, 38% to gentamicin, 23% to cotrimoxazole, and 3% to vancomycin.<sup>2</sup> This is in very good agreement with our own data, except that we did not detect any vancomycin resistance. This could be due to the too small number of isolates in our study and their collection from a single institution (the study referred to above<sup>2</sup> did not specified whether resistance to vancomycin was concentrated or not in a single or a few hospitals). Our observations add to this large study direct information about the resistance profile of MRSA to additional drugs. It further demonstrates the multidrug-resistant character of most of these strains, especially those belonging to *spa*-types t189 and t437, which will make the treatment of the corresponding infections quite difficult. However, since all clinical isolates were collected in a single hospital, we cannot generalize our conclusion to the country or to the region where the situation still remains uncertain.

Biofilm formation is a frequently encountered feature for clinical isolates of *S. aureus*. In the present study, there

#### S. AUREUS PERSISTENT INFECTIONS IN VIETNAM

was a marked difference between the reference strain ATCC25923 and the collected clinical isolates, all of which were from persisting or recurrent infections. A previous study suggests that strains isolated from device-related infections or skin infections could be higher biofilm producers<sup>17</sup> than those collected from other infection types, but this was not confirmed in this study. Another study suggests that isolates from recurrent prosthetic joint infections form more biofilms and persist longer in bone cells than initially collected isolates,<sup>3</sup> but, again, this was not seen here since our strains were isolated from different fluids and/or sites of infections. Thus, biofilm formation seems to be a hallmark of isolates originating from persistent infections. Conversely, there was no difference in the degree of phagocytosis and intracellular survival between the reference strain ATCC25923 and the clinical isolates. Thus, intracellular hiding, by itself, does not seem critical for persistence of the infection.

A key and probably most important observation made in this work is that bacteria encased in biofilms, and, to some extent, those having taken refuge intracellularly, were less susceptible to the antibacterial activity of moxifloxacin. Yet, this fluoroquinolone is among the best antibiotics we found so far to act against bacteria in biofilms<sup>5,18</sup> or in phagocytes.<sup>4,19</sup> To our knowledge, the present study is one of the first to examine concomitantly the activity of this antibiotic against the same clinical isolates tested in these two models. Noteworthy, the loss of activity we observe is marginally affected by the susceptible or resistant character of the strain in biofilms, while the difference reaches significance intracellularly.

The concentration used, which corresponds to the average human  $C_{\text{max}}$ , is, however, 6–7 log<sub>2</sub> dilutions higher than the MIC of susceptible isolates and still 1–2 log<sub>2</sub> dilutions higher than that of the resistant ones. For biofilms, our data therefore suggest that bioavailability at the site of infection is probably a key determinant for modulating the expression of activity in biofilms, in line with the concept that minimal biofilm eradicating concentrations are usually far above MIC values.<sup>20</sup> Conversely, for intracellular bacteria, we previously showed that the level of cellular accumulation of fluoroquinolones is not predictive of their intracellular activity,<sup>21</sup> rather indicating that the cellular environment is probably the main factor modulating bacterial responsiveness.<sup>22</sup>

Thus, we conclude that, beyond resistance, both the capacity of *S. aureus* to maintain itself in biofilms and to survive intracellularly even in the presence of an active antibiotic strongly contribute to treatment failure. Although there was a correlation between the losses of activity of moxifloxacin seen in these two models when considering individual isolates, it must be noted that moxifloxacin was systematically less active in biofilm than intracellularly. Methods for assessing biofilm formation and intracellular survival of clinical isolates could be implemented in clinical microbiology laboratories if using high-throughput assays<sup>23</sup> to help predicting the risk factor of persistence and/or recurrence independently of resistance.

## Acknowledgments

T.K.N. is junior research fellow from the Fonds de Coopération of the Université catholique de Louvain. F.V.B.

is Research Director from the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS). The authors are grateful to V. Yfantis and R. De Ryck for expert technical help, to Prof. H. Rodriguez-Villalobos (Cliniques universitaires Saint Luc, Université catholique de Louvain), for identification of the strains by MALDI-TOF MS, as well as to the staff of the Bach Mai Hospital who collected the strains.

#### **Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

### **Funding Information**

This work was supported by the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS)-Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (grants T.0189.16 and J.0018.17).

#### **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary Figure S1 Supplementary Figure S2

#### References

- Kakkar, M., P. Chatterjee, A.S. Chauhan, D. Grace, J. Lindahl, A. Beeche, F. Jing, and S. Chotinan. 2018. Antimicrobial resistance in South East Asia: time to ask the right questions. Glob. Health Action 11:1483637.
- Vu Tien Viet, D., N. Do Thi Thuy, U. Rydell, L.E. Nilsson, L. Olson, M. Larsson, H. Hanberger, M. Choisy, T. Dao Tuyet, H.R. van Doorn, K. Nguyen Van, T. Nguyen Vu, and H.F.L. Wertheim. 2019. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and antibiotic consumption results from 16 hospitals in Viet Nam-the VINARES project, 2012–2013. J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist. 18:278.
- Trouillet-Assant, S., L. Lelievre, P. Martins-Simoes, L. Gonzaga, J. Tasse, F. Valour, J.P. Rasigade, F. Vandenesch, R.L. Muniz Guedes, A.T. Ribeiro de Vasconcelos, J. Caillon, S. Lustig, T. Ferry, C. Jacqueline, G. Loss de Morais, and F. Laurent. 2016. Adaptive processes of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates during the progression from acute to chronic bone and joint infections in patients. Cell Microbiol. 18:1405–1414.
- Barcia-Macay, M., C. Seral, M.P. Mingeot-Leclercq, P.M. Tulkens, and F. Van Bambeke. 2006. Pharmacodynamic evaluation of the intracellular activities of antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus* in a model of THP-1 macrophages. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50:841–851.
- Bauer, J., W. Siala, P.M. Tulkens, and F. Van Bambeke. 2013. A combined pharmacodynamic quantitative and qualitative model reveals the potent activity of daptomycin and delafloxacin against *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:2726–2737.
- Deplano, A., S. Vandendriessche, C. Nonhoff, and O. Denis. 2014. Genetic diversity among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates carrying the mecC gene in Belgium. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 69:1457–1460.
- Hallin, M., O. Denis, A. Deplano, R. De Mendonca, R. De Ryck, S. Rottiers, and M.J. Struelens. 2007. Genetic relatedness between methicillin-susceptible and methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: results of a national survey. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59:465–472.
- Gilot, P., G. Lina, T. Cochard, and B. Poutrel. 2002. Analysis of the genetic variability of genes encoding the RNA III-activating components Agr and TRAP in a pop-

ulation of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from cows with mastitis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40:4060–4067.

- Magiorakos, A.P., A. Srinivasan, R.B. Carey, Y. Carmeli, M.E. Falagas, C.G. Giske, S. Harbarth, J.F. Hindler, G. Kahlmeter, B. Olsson-Liljequist, D.L. Paterson, L.B. Rice, J. Stelling, M.J. Struelens, A. Vatopoulos, J.T. Weber, and D.L. Monnet. 2012. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drugresistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 18:268–281.
- Dat, V.Q., H.N. Vu, H. Nguyen The, H.T. Nguyen, L.B. Hoang, D. Vu Tien Viet, C.L. Bui, K. Van Nguyen, T.V. Nguyen, D.T. Trinh, A. Torre, H.R. van Doorn, B. Nadjm, and H.F.L. Wertheim. 2017. Bacterial bloodstream infections in a tertiary infectious diseases hospital in Northern Vietnam: aetiology, drug resistance, and treatment outcome. BMC Infect. Dis. 17:493.
- Tran, H.M., V.T. Truong, T.M.N. Ngo, Q.P.V. Bui, H.C. Nguyen, T.T.Q. Le, W. Mazur, E. Chung, J.M. Cafardi, K.P.N. Pham, H.H.N. Duong, T. Nguyen, V.T. Nguyen, and V.N. Pham. 2017. Microbiological profile and risk factors for in-hospital mortality of infective endocarditis in tertiary care hospitals of south Vietnam. PLoS One 12: e0189421.
- 12. Asadollahi, P., N.N. Farahani, M. Mirzaii, S.S. Khoramrooz, A. van Belkum, K. Asadollahi, M. Dadashi, and D. Darban-Sarokhalil. 2018. Distribution of the most prevalent Spa types among clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant and -susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* around the world: a review. Front Microbiol. 9:163.
- 13. Xie, X., X. Dai, L. Ni, B. Chen, Z. Luo, Y. Yao, X. Wu, H. Li, and S. Huang. 2018. Molecular epidemiology and virulence characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization in medical laboratory staff: comparison between microbiological and non-microbiological laboratories. BMC Infect. Dis. 18:122.
- Ho, C.M., C.Y. Lin, M.W. Ho, H.C. Lin, C.T. Peng, and J.J. Lu. 2016. Concomitant genotyping revealed diverse spreading between methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* in central Taiwan. J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 49: 363–370.
- 15. Sun, C., Q. Wang, W.T. Li, D.N. Wen, C.H. Chen, X. Yang, W. Shi, Q.H. Meng, K.H. Yao, and S.Y. Qian. 2019. Molecular characteristics and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* among children with respiratory tract infections in southwest China. World J. Pediatr. [Epub ahead of print]; DOI: 10.1007/s12519-019-00317-4.
- Yang, Y., Z. Hu, W. Shang, Q. Hu, J. Zhu, J. Yang, H. Peng, X. Zhang, H. Liu, Y. Cong, S. Li, X. Hu, R. Zhou, and X. Rao. 2017. Molecular and phenotypic character-

ization revealed high prevalence of multidrug-resistant methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* in Chongqing, Southwestern China. Microb. Drug Resist. 23: 241–246.

- Kwiecinski, J.M., G. Jacobsson, A.R. Horswill, E. Josefsson, and .T Jin. 2019. Biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates correlates with the infection type. Infect. Dis. (Lond) 51:446–451.
- Masadeh, M.M., K.H. Alzoubi, W.S. Ahmed, and A.S. Magaji. 2019. In vitro comparison of antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of selected fluoroquinolones against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Pathogens 8:pii: E12.
- 19. Lemaire, S., K. Kosowska-Shick, P.C. Appelbaum, Y. Glupczynski, F. Van Bambeke, and P.M. Tulkens. 2011. Activity of moxifloxacin against intracellular community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: comparison with clindamycin, linezolid and co-trimoxazole and attempt at defining an intracellular susceptibility breakpoint. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66:596–607.
- Macia, M.D., E. Rojo-Molinero, and A. Oliver. 2014. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in biofilm-growing bacteria. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 20:981–990.
- Vallet, C.M., B. Marquez, E. Ngabirano, S. Lemaire, M.P. Mingeot-Leclercq, P.M. Tulkens, and F. Van Bambeke. 2011. Cellular accumulation of fluoroquinolones is not predictive of their intracellular activity: studies with gemifloxacin, moxifloxacin and ciprofloxacin in a pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model of uninfected and infected macrophages. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 38: 249–256.
- Nguyen, H.A., J. Grellet, V. Dubois, M.C. Saux, and C. Quentin. 2007. Factors compromising the activity of moxifloxacin against intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59:755–758.
- Sandberg, M., A. Maattanen, J. Peltonen, P.M. Vuorela, and A. Fallarero. 2008. Automating a 96-well microtitre plate model for *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms: an approach to screening of natural antimicrobial compounds. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 32:233–240.

Address correspondence to: Françoise Van Bambeke, PharmD, PhD Pharmacologie cellulaire et moléculaire Louvain Drug Research Institute Université catholique de Louvain (UC Louvain) Avenue E. Mounier 73 B1.73.05 Brussels 1200 Belgium

E-mail: francoise.vanbambeke@uclouvain.be

# MDR-2019-0282 - SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Antibiotic resistance, biofilm formation, and intracellular survival as possible determinants of persistent or recurrent infections by *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Vietnamese tertiary hospital. Focus on bacterial response to moxifloxacin.

T.K. Nguyen, M.A. Argudin, A. Deplano, N.H. Pham, H.A. Nguyen, P.M. Tulkens M. Dodemont, F. Van Bambeke

# Figure S1 :

Growth rate of clinical isolates *vs.* ATCC 25923 in MHB-CA. The OD<sub>620 nm</sub> was followed over time in culture initiated at an OD<sub>620 nm</sub> = 0.005. No major difference was observed among isolates, which grew at a slightly higher rate than ATCC 25923.



Figure S2: biofilm formation and intracellular infection of THP-1 monocytes by the reference strain ATCC 25923 and selected clinical isolates categorized according to their *spa*-type (t437, t189 or other). A: CFU counts in 24 h biofilms. B: post-phagocytosis inoculum in THP-1 cells exposed during 1 h to serum opsonized *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923 and 10 isolates), after elimination of extracellular bacteria using 100 x MIC gentamicin. C: intracellular growth of the same isolates after 24 h of incubation in the presence of 1 x MIC gentamicin (to avoid extracellular contamination).

